## IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF LIE

## p-ALGEBRAS

B. Yu. Veisfeiler and V. G. Kats

We consider the irreducible representations of finite-dimensional Lie p-algebras over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p>0$. The study of these representations was initiated in the 1940 s by Zassenhaus, who described the representations of nilpotent Lie algebras [14]. Next, Chang [11] studied the representations of the Witt algebra in very great detail. After the war Zassenhaus obtained general results on the structure of the enveloping algebras of Lie p-algebras [15]. Using these results, A. N. Rudakov and I. R. Shafarevich [8] studied the structure of the set of all representations of the algebra A. These investigations were extended by $A$. N. Rudakov [7] who found sufficient conditions for a representation of a Lie algebra of classical type to have maximal dimension.

As in the case of characteristic zero, the problem concerning the representations is connected with the action of the Lie algebra on the space $G^{*}$ conjugate to it. A linear form $l V$ is canonically associated with each irreducible representation of $G$ in a space V. For completely solvable Lie algebras we describe the irreducible representations entirely in terms of this form (Theorem 1). For the Lie p-algebras of classical type Theorem 2 shows that the study of representations with an arbitrary form ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~V}$ can be reduced to the case when the element of the algebra $G$ dual to $l_{V}$ (relative to the Killing form) is nilpotent. For a nilpotent element the problem is still open. But for an arbitrary $l_{V}$ the connection with the action of $G$ on $G^{*}$ is given by Theorem 3. The p-representations of p-algebras of Cartan type aredescribed in Theorem 4.

Our results reflect "in miniature" the general situation in the case of characteristic zero.

## 81. THE MAIN DEFINITIONS

1. Let $G$ be a Lie algebra over a field $k$, and let $U(G)$ be its universal enveloping algebra. Then (see [3], p. 207)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b)^{p}=a^{p}-b^{p}+\Lambda(a, b), \quad a, b \in G \tag{p0}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda(a, b) \in G$. A Lie algebra $G$ is said to be a Lie $p$-algebra if in $G$ there is defined a mapping $a \rightarrow a[p]$, satisfying the conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
(a+b)^{[p]} & =a^{[p]}+b^{[\rho]} \div \lambda(a, b),  \tag{p1}\\
(\alpha a)^{[p]} & =\alpha^{\rho} a^{[\rho]}, \quad f \alpha \in k,  \tag{p2}\\
\operatorname{ad} b^{[\rho]} & =(\operatorname{ad} b)^{p} . \tag{p3}
\end{align*}
$$

If a mapping $x_{i} \rightarrow a_{i}^{[b]}$ satisfying $(p 3)$ is defined on the basis $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ of a Lie algebra $G$, then it uniquely defines the structure of a Lie p-algebra in G [3].

Let $G$ be a finite-dimensional Lie p-algebra, and let $U \#$ be the center of $U(G)$. If $V$ is a simple $G-$ module, then by Schur's Lemma $u(x)=\chi_{V}(u) x$ for all $u \in U \#$ and for all $x \in V$, where $\chi V$ is a homomorphism of $U \#$ into $k$. As is well known (see [3]) for every $g \in G$ the element $g^{p-g[p]}$ belongs to $U \#$.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let $G$ be a Lie $p$-algebra, and let $V$ be a simple $G$-module. We put $l_{V}(g)=(\chi V$ $(g \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{p}])^{1 / \mathrm{p}}$. Then $l_{\mathrm{V}} \in \mathrm{G}^{*}$.
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[^0]Proof. It follows from (p0) and (p1) that $l_{V}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{1}+\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)=l_{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)+l_{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)$ and from (p2) that $l_{\mathrm{V}}(\alpha \mathrm{g})=\alpha l_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{g})$.
2. Let $U \# \subset U \#$ be the subalgebra generated by all of the elements $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{p}], \mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}$, and 1 ; let K and $K_{0}$ be the fields of the special rings of $U \#$ and $U \not{ }^{*}$, and let $r=\left[K: K_{0}\right]$. Let ${ }^{3}$ denote the set of all simple G -modules, $\Phi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow$ Spec U\# be the mapping defined by the formula $\Phi(V)=X V, V \in \mathbb{T}$; we have $\mathbb{T B}^{\Phi} \rightarrow$ Spec U* i* Spec $U_{*}^{\#}$. Then $\operatorname{dim} V \leq p^{(n-r) / 2}, V \in \mathcal{B}^{*}[15]$, and there exists an open everywhere dense set $\mathscr{M}$, Spec $U \#$ such that $\operatorname{dim} V=p^{(n-r) / 2}$ for $V \in \Phi^{-1}(\mathscr{K})$ and the mapping $\Phi: \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathscr{M}$ is one-to-one. It seems plausible that $\mathrm{r} r=\min _{l \in G^{*}} \operatorname{dim} G_{l}$ (where $G_{l}$ is the stationary subalgebra in the representation contragredient to the adjoint representation). This conjecture can be verified for simple Lie algebras of classical type [7], and its validity for completely solvable Lie p-algebras follows from the results in $\$ 2$. We note that the degree of the covering $\mathrm{i}^{*}$ is equal to the degree of separability of K over $\mathrm{K}_{0}$. For nilpotent Lie algebras, $K$ is purely nonseparable over $K_{0}[15]$. We can prove that this extension is separable for Lie algebras of classical type and for the Lie algebra $W_{n}$.
3. We denote by $U_{l}^{\#}, l \in G^{*}$, the subalgebra of $U_{*}^{\#}$ generated by the elements of the form $g p-g^{[p]-}$ $l^{(\mathrm{p})}(\mathrm{g}), \mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}$. We put $\mathrm{U}_{l}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{G}) / \mathrm{U}_{*}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{G})$. Let H be a p-subalgebra of a Lie p-algebra $\mathrm{G}, \overline{\mathrm{I}}=\left.l\right|_{\mathrm{H}}$ and V be an $H$-module such that its nucleus contains $U_{l}^{\#(H)}$. The space $V_{l}^{G}=U_{l}(G) \otimes_{U} \bar{l}(H) V$ is given the structure of a $G$-module by putting $g(u \otimes x)=g u \otimes x, u \in U_{l}(G), x \in V$. We say that the $G$-module $V_{l}^{G}$ is induced by the H -module V . We give several obvious properties of induced modules:
a) if $V$ is a simple $G$-module, $l=l V, H$ is a p-subalgebra of a Lie p-algebra $G$, and $V^{\prime} \subset V$ is an $H-$ submodule, then $V$ is a factor-module of the $G$-module $V_{l}^{\prime G}$;
b) if $V^{\prime}$ is an $H$-module and $H$ is a p-subalgebra of a Lie p-algebra $G$ of codimension $t$, then dim $V_{l}{ }^{G}=$ $p^{t} \cdot \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime} ;$
c) if $\mathrm{H}_{1} \subset \mathrm{H}_{2} \subset \mathrm{G}$ are p-subalgebras of a Lie p-algebra $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ is an $\mathrm{H}_{1}$-module, and $l \in \mathrm{G}^{*}$, then $\left(\mathrm{V}_{7}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{l}^{\mathrm{G}}$ $=V_{l}^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{G}}$, where $\bar{l}=\left.l\right|_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$.
4. We fix our notation. Let $G$ be a Lie $p$-algebra, let $n(G)$ denote the nilradical of $G$ (that is, the maximal ideal consisting of nilpotent elements), and let $C(G)$ denote the center of $G$. If $H$ is a subalgebra of $G$, let $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H})[Z(\mathrm{H})]$ denote the normalizer [centralizer] of $H$. Let $\mathrm{G}_{l}, l \in \mathrm{G}^{*}$, denote the stationary subalgebra in the representation contragredient to the adjoint representation. The sign $\oplus$ symbolizes the direct sum of vector spaces.

## 52. REPRESENTATIONS OF CCMPLETELY SOLVABLE LIE P-ALGEBRAS

1. Let G be a Lie algebra, $l \in \mathrm{G}^{*}$, and let H be a subalgebra such that $l([\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=0$. Since the dimension of the maximal isotropy subspace of $G$ for the bilinear form $B(x, y)=l([x, y])$ is equal to (dim $G+\operatorname{dim}$ $\left.\mathrm{G}_{l}\right) / 2=a(\mathrm{G}, l)$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H} \leq a(\mathrm{G}, l)$. The set of subalgebras of G for which $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}=a(\mathrm{G}, l)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{D}(G, l)$. We note that every such subalgebra contains $G l$ and is a $p$-subalgebra of a Lie p-algebra.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $G$ be a Lie algebra, $l \in \mathrm{G}^{*}, \mathrm{G}_{1}$ be a subalgebra of codimension one which contains $\mathrm{G}_{l}$, and $l_{1}=\left.l\right|_{\mathrm{G}_{1}}$. Then $\boldsymbol{P}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}, l_{1}\right) \subset \mathfrak{P}(\mathrm{G}, l)$.

Proof. Let $H \in \Phi\left(G_{1}, l_{1}\right)$. We have

$$
\operatorname{dim} H=a\left(G_{1}, l_{1}\right) \geqslant\left(\operatorname{dim} G-1+\operatorname{dim} G_{l}\right) / 2=a(G, l)-1 / 2
$$

Since the number $x(G, l)$ is an integer, we have $\operatorname{dim} H=a(G, l)$ and $H \in \mathcal{B}(G, l)$.
2. A Lie algebra $G$ is said to be completely solvable if it contains a sequence of ideals $G=G_{(0)} \supset G_{(1)}$ $\supset \ldots G_{(n)}$, for which $\operatorname{dim} G_{(i)}=n-i$. A subalgebra and a factor-algebra of a completely solvable Lie algebra $G$ are completely solvable; each of its proper subalgebras is contained in a subalgebra of codimension 1 of $G$.

By induction on the dimension we obtain the following corollary from Lemma 2.1.
COROLLARY. For a completely solvable Lie algebra $G$ the set $\mathcal{P}(G, l)$ is nonempty.
PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $G$ be a completely solvable Lie p-algebra. For a suitable choice of a p-structure in $G$ the Lie algebra $G / n(G)$ is commutative. The commutativity of $G / n(G)$ implies that $G=T \oplus n(G)$ (semidirect sum), where $T$ is any maximal torus of $G$. Conversely, if $G=T \oplus n(G)$, then the Lie $p$-algebra $G$ is completely solvable.

Proof. The splitting off of a maximal torus was proved in [5]; the remaining assertions are obvious.
3. LEMMA 2.3. Let $G$ be a Lie $p$-algebra, let $G_{1}$ be a p-subalgebra of $G$ of codimension 1 , let $V$ be a simple G -module, $l=l_{\mathrm{V}}$, let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{V}$ be a simple $\mathrm{G}_{1}$-submodule, and let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{V}$ 。 Then a) if $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ is an ideal, then $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{l}^{\mathrm{G}}$; b) if the algebra G is solvable, then $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}{ }_{l}^{\mathrm{G}}$.

Proof. We prove a) first. It follows from results in [14] that $\operatorname{dim} V=p^{t} \cdot \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}, t>0$. Since $V$ is a factor-module of the $G$-module $V_{l}^{G}$ we have $\operatorname{dim} V \leq p \operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}$; hence $V=V j_{j}^{G}$. Hence a) is proved. From it we obtain by induction on dim $G$ that if a Lie algebra $G$ is solvable then $\operatorname{dim} V=p^{t}$. Assertion b) obviously follows from here.

Let $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{H}, \tilde{l})$ denote the one-dimensional H -module defined by the mapping $\mathrm{h} \rightarrow \tilde{l}(\mathrm{~h}), \tilde{l} \in \mathrm{H}^{*}, \mathrm{~h} \in \mathrm{H}$, $\tilde{l}([\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}])=0$.

THEOREM 1. Let $G$ be a completely solvable Lie p-algebra, $\tilde{l}, l \in G^{*}, H \in \mathcal{P}(G, \tilde{l})$ and $l(\mathrm{~h})=\tilde{7}(\mathrm{~h})-$ $\left.\tilde{l}^{1 / p} \mathrm{p}_{(\mathrm{h}}[\mathrm{p}]\right)$ for all $\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}$. Then
a) the G -module $(\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{H}, \tilde{l}))_{l}^{\mathrm{G}}$ is simple;
b) every simple G -module V is isomorphic to one of the modules $(\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{H}, \tilde{l}))_{l}^{\mathrm{G}}\left(l=l_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$.

Proof. We prove b) by induction on $\operatorname{dim} G$. Let $V$ be a simple $G$-module. We remark that if $G_{1}$ is a p-subalgebra of $G$ of codimension one, and $V^{\prime} \in V$ is a simple $G_{1}$-submodule, then by the induction hypothesis there exist $\widetilde{l}_{1} \in G_{1}^{*}$ and $H \in P\left(G_{j}, \widetilde{l}_{1}\right)$ for which the $H$-module $v\left(H, \widetilde{l}_{1}\right)$ induces the $G_{1}-$ module $V^{\prime}$. If $V^{\prime} \neq$ V and there is an $\tilde{l} \in \mathrm{G}^{*}$ such that $\tilde{l}_{1}=\left.l\right|_{\mathrm{G}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{l} \in \mathrm{G}_{1}$, then by Lemmas 2.1 and $2.3 \mathrm{H} \in \mathscr{P}(\mathrm{G}, \tilde{l})$ and the $\mathrm{H}-$ module $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{H}, \widetilde{l})$ induces the G -module V .

Let $G_{0}$ be the annihilator of the $G$-module $V, \bar{G}=G / G_{0}$, and $C=C(\bar{G})$. Then $\operatorname{dim} C \leq 1$. We can obviously assume that $\operatorname{dim} V>1$, and consequently, that $\bar{G} \neq C$. We consider three separate cases.

CASE 1. There is a one-dimensional ideal ky $\subset \bar{G}$ not lying in C. Then it is obvious that for some $x \in \bar{G}$ we have $\bar{G}=Z(k y) \oplus \mathrm{kx}$ and $[x, y]=y$. Let $\tilde{y}$ be an image of $y$ in $G, G_{1}=\left\{g \in G:[g, \tilde{y}] \in G_{0}\right\}$ and let $V^{\prime}$ be the simple $G_{1}$-module which is induced by the $H$-module $v\left(H, \widetilde{l}_{1}\right)$. It is obvious that $G_{1}$ is a p-subalgebra of $G$ of codimension one and that $\tilde{G}_{l} \subset G_{1}$ for any $\tilde{l} \in G^{*}$ for which $\left.\tilde{\tilde{l}}\right|_{G_{1}}=\widetilde{l}_{1}$. If $V^{\prime}=V$ then $y \in C$, hence $y(v)=\lambda v$ for all $v \in V$; in particular $[x, y]=0$ contradicting the choice of $x, y$.

CASE 2. Dim $C=1$ and $C(\bar{G} / C) \neq 0$. Let $z \in C, z \neq 0$. It is obvious that there are elements $x, y \in \bar{G}$ for which $\bar{G}=Z(k y) \oplus k x$ and $[x, y]=z$. The subsequent reasoning is the same as in Case 1 .

CASE 3. $\operatorname{Dim~} C=1, C(\overline{\mathrm{G}} / \mathrm{C})=0$ and C is the only one-dimensional ideal in $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$. Let $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{z} \neq 0, \mathrm{k} \overline{\mathrm{y}}$ be a one-dimensional ideal in $\bar{G} / C$, and $y$ be an inverse-image of $\bar{y}$ in $\bar{G}$ such that $y(v)=0$ for some $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$. It is obvious that there is an element $x \in \bar{G}$ such that $\bar{G}=N(k y) \oplus \mathrm{kx}$ and $[x, y]=z$. We put $G_{1}=$ $\left\{g \in G:[g, y] \in G_{0} \oplus \mathrm{ky}\right\}$. Let $V^{\prime} \in V$ be a simple $G_{1}$-submodule. We need to prove that $V^{\prime} \neq V$. Let us assume otherwise: $V^{\prime}=V$. We have $G_{1} / G_{0}=N(k y)$ and $y(v)=0$ for some $v \in V, v \neq 0$. Then $V$ is a simple $G_{1}$-module, therefore every vector $v_{1} \in V$ is a linear combination of vectors of the form $g_{1} \ldots g_{k}(v)$, where $g_{i} \in N(k y)$. By induction we have

$$
y g_{1} \ldots g_{k}(v)=\left\{y g_{1} \mid g_{2} \ldots g_{k}(v)+-g_{1} y g_{2} \ldots g_{k}(v)=0\right.
$$

Hence $y(V)=0$ which contradicts the choice of $y$. This proves b), and a) is proved similarly.
LEMMA 2.4. Let $G$ be a Lie algebra and $V$ be a simple $G$-module for which all the elements from $[G, G]$ are nilpotent. Then $\operatorname{dim} V=1$.

Proof. Let $V_{0}$ be the nucleus of the $[G, G]$-module $V$ and $\bar{G}=G /[G, G]$. By a theorem of Engel, $V_{0}=$ 0 . It is obvious that $V_{0}$ is a submodule of the $G$-module $V$, hence $V_{0}=V$ and we can regard $V$ as a $\bar{G}$ module. Since the Lie algebra $\bar{G}$ is commutative and the $\bar{G}$-module $V$ is simple, we have dim $V=1$.

Let $G=T \oplus n(G)$, where $T$ denotes the maximal torus of the Lie p-algebra $G$, and $(n(G))[p]^{k+1}=0$. Let V be a simple G -module and $l=l_{\mathrm{V}}$. Since $\left(\mathrm{g} p-\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{p}]_{\mathrm{V}}=l \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{v}\right.$ for all $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$, as is easily seen, the endomorphism $g \in n(G)$ has a unique eigenvalue $\lambda V(g)=l(g)+l^{p^{-1}}(g[p])+\ldots+l p^{-k}\left(g[p]^{k}\right)$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{T} \oplus \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{G}), \mathrm{V}$ be a simple G -module, $l=l_{\mathrm{V}}$, and $\lambda=\lambda \mathrm{V}$. Let H be the subalgebra of the highest dimension for which $\lambda([\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}])=0$. Then every single submodule of the H -module V is one-dimensional and induces $V$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 the $G$-module $V$ is induced by a one-dimensional submodule of some subalgebra $\tilde{H}$. It is obvious that $\lambda([\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}])=0$. Next if $\lambda([\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}])=0$, by Lemma 2.4 every simple submodule of the H -module V is one-dimensional; hence $\operatorname{dim} \underset{H}{2} \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}$.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let $G=T \oplus n(G)$, and let $V^{\prime}, V^{\prime \prime}$ be simple $G$-modules for which $l V^{\prime}=l_{V^{\prime \prime}}$. Then $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime \prime} ;$ if $T=0$ then the $G$-modules $V^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime \prime}$ are isomorphic.

Remark. In a somewhat different form a classification of the irreducible representations of nilpotent Lie algebras over $k$ is derived in [14]. For the characteristic zero case results similar to Theorem 1 are well known.

## §3. REPRESENTATIONS OF LIE ALGEBRAS OF CLASSICAL TYPE

1. Let $G$ be a simple Lie algebra over $k$ of classical type with the nondegenerate, invariant, symmetric bilinear form $F$ considered in [4, 9]. This form determines an isomorphism $F: G^{*} \rightarrow G$.

Remark. Apparently the results we have obtained can be extended to any factor-algebras of the algebras Lie $\mathscr{G}$, where $\mathscr{G}$ is a smooth semisimple algebraic group.

Let $\overline{\mathscr{Y}}=$ Aut $G$ and let $\mathscr{G}$ be a simply connected covering of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}$. As is well known $G$ is a factoralgebra of the algebra Lie $\mathscr{G}$ : let $\Phi:$ Lie $\mathscr{G} \rightarrow G$ be the corresponding projection. If $y$ is a Borel subgroup of $\mathscr{G}$ the subalgebra $\Phi($ Lie $\mathscr{B}) \subset G$ is called a Borel subalgebra of $G$. Then $G$ is the union of its Borel subalgebras [10]. Let $l \in G^{*}, q \in F_{G}(l)$, and $B \subset G$ be a Borel subalgebra such that $q \in B$. Then $1 \mid[B, B]=0$, and by Lemma 2.4 in each G -module V for which $l_{\mathrm{V}}=l$ the subalgebra B has an eigenvector.
2. Let $q=q_{S}+q_{n}$ denote the decomposition of $q$ into semi-simple and nilpotent parts, $G^{\prime}=Z_{G}\left(q_{S}\right)$, $T_{0}$ be the center of $G^{\prime}$ and $G_{0}=\left[G^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right]$.

We choose a Borel subalgebra $B_{0}$ of $G^{\prime}$ such that $q \in B_{0}$. Let $B$ be a Borel subalgebra of $G$ which contains $B_{0}$. Then $P=B+G_{0}$ is a parabolic subalgebra of $G$. Let $T$ be the maximal torus of $G, B \supset T \supset T_{0}$, $N$ be the nilradical of $P, \Sigma$ be the system of roots of $G$ relative to $T, \Sigma^{+}$be the system of positive roots in $\Sigma$ defined by B, $\Sigma_{0}=\left\{\alpha: e_{\alpha} \in G_{0}\right\}, \Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma+\backslash \Sigma_{0}, \Sigma \prime=-\Sigma$, and $G_{0}=k e_{\alpha}+k h_{\alpha}+k e_{-\alpha}$. In view of our choice of $B$ and $G_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\left(e_{\alpha}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{VaE} \Sigma^{+} \cup \Sigma^{\prime} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 2. Let $V$ be a simple $U l(G)$-module and $V^{\prime} \subset V$ be a simple $P$-submodule. Then $V=V_{l} G$. In particular, $\operatorname{dim} V=p \operatorname{dim} N \cdot \operatorname{dim} V$, and the dimension of any $U l(G)$-module is divisible by $\mathrm{p}^{\text {dim } N}$.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $\Delta$ denote the system of simple roots in $\Sigma^{+}$. There is an indexing of the roots in $\Sigma^{n}: \Sigma^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right\}$, such that if $\Sigma_{1}=\Sigma^{+}, \Delta_{1}=\Delta, \nu_{i+1}=s_{\alpha_{1}} \Sigma_{i}$, and $\Delta_{i+1}=s_{\alpha_{i}} \Delta_{i}$, then $\Sigma_{i}$ is the system of positive roots in $\Sigma, \Delta_{i}$ is the system of simple roots in $\Sigma_{i}$ and $-\alpha_{i} \in \Delta_{i}$.

Proof.(communicated to us by E. B. Vinbert). Let $\mathbf{R}^{m}=\mathbf{R} \cdot \Sigma, X_{\alpha}$ be the hyperplane orthogonal to the root $\alpha$, and $C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}$ be the Weyl chambers in $R^{m}$ corresponding to the systems of positive roots $\Sigma^{\prime} U \Sigma_{0}^{+}$, $\Sigma " \cup \Sigma_{0}^{+}$. We take points $y^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \in C^{\prime \prime}$ such that the straight line $D^{D}$ through $y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}$ does not pass through $\mathrm{X}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cap \mathrm{X}_{\beta}, \alpha, \beta \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. (This is possible since a chamber is an open set.) Let $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ denote the segment of $\mathscr{D}$ between $y^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime \prime}$. When we move along $\mathscr{T}_{0}$ from $y^{\prime}$ to $y^{\prime \prime}$ we can write down and successively enumerate the negative roots corresponding to the planes $X_{\alpha}$ which we cross. Let them $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$. Since $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ meets a plane at not more than one point we have $\beta_{i} \neq \beta_{j}$ for $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$. It is obvious that $\left\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\} \supset \boldsymbol{L}^{\prime}$. Next let $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{i}}$ be a root such that the chambers $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime \prime}$ lie on different sides of the plane $\mathrm{X}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{i}}}$. But all the negative roots with this property lie in $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$, that is, $\beta_{i} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ for all i. Thus the lemma is proved.
3. Let $B_{i}$ denote the Borel subalgebra of $G$ corresponding to $\Sigma_{i}$ in Lemma 3.1. We put $p_{i}=B_{i}+$ $\operatorname{ke}_{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{U}_{l}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{U}_{l}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}=\bigoplus_{a \in s_{i}, \alpha \neq-a_{i}}^{\oplus} k e_{a}$ (the nilradical of $\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{G}_{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}}$, and $f_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{e}_{-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}}$. Since the $G$-module $V$ is simple, $V$ is the factor-module of the $G$-module $W=V_{l}^{G}$ with respect to some maximal G-submodule $\widetilde{W}$. We put $W_{1}=1 \otimes V^{\prime} \subset W, W_{i+1}=U_{i} \otimes U_{i}^{\prime} W_{i}$. This definition is correct since $B_{i+1} \subset P_{i}$ and therefore $W_{i}$ can be regarded as a $B_{i+1}$-module. We assume that $W_{i}$ is embedded in $W$. Let $M_{i}$ be a simple $P_{i}$-submodule of $\widetilde{W} \cap W_{i}\left(M_{i}=0\right.$ if $\widetilde{W} \cap W_{i}=0$, and $M_{i} \neq 0$ if $\left.\widetilde{W} \cap W_{i} \neq 0\right)$. We put $\bar{W}_{i}=\left\{w \in W_{i}: N_{i-1} w=0\right\}$. Let us note the following facts:
(a) $l\left(e_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right)=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma_{i}$ (see $\left.\left({ }^{*}\right)\right), l\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\left(\right.$ because if $l\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right)=l(\mathrm{e} \pm \alpha)=0$ then $\left.\alpha \in \Sigma_{0}\right) ;$
(b) $N_{i-1} M_{i}=0, M_{i}$ is a simple $G_{i}$-module (because $l\left(e_{\alpha}\right)=0$ for all e $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in N_{i+1}$ );
(c) $f_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}} \neq 0$ for $\mathrm{m} \leq \mathrm{p}-1, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{M}} \neq 0$ for $\mathrm{m} \leq \mathrm{p}-1$ (this is a property of any simple $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-module with a form $l$ for which $\left.l\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=l\left(f_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=0, l\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right) \neq 0\right)$;
(d) $W_{i-1}^{\prime}=\underset{0 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1}{\oplus} e_{i}^{i} W_{i}, j=0,1, \ldots, p-1$ (by the definition of the tensor product);
(e) $\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{a}} e_{\beta}^{m}=e_{\beta}^{m e_{\boldsymbol{a}}}+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{m}{j}(-1) \mathbf{j}_{\beta} \mathbf{j}_{\beta}\left(\left(\operatorname{ad} \mathbf{e}_{\beta}\right)^{\mathfrak{j}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right)\right)([3]$, p. 49).

LEMMA 3.2. If $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}}=0$ then $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}+1} \subset \underset{0 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1}{ } e_{i} \bar{W}_{i}$.
Proof. Let $\mathrm{x}=\sum e_{i}^{i} x_{i} \in M_{i+1}, x_{i} \in W_{i}$. Then $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ for all $\mathrm{m} \leq \mathrm{p}-1$. By (b) we have $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=0$ for all m. Let $x=x_{0}+e_{i} x_{1}+\ldots+e_{i}^{t} x_{t}$. We put $y_{m}=e_{t}^{p-t+m-1} x$ for all $m=0,1, \ldots, t$. Then $y_{m}=$ $e_{t}^{p-t+m-1} x_{0}+\ldots+e_{i}^{p-1} x_{t-m}$. We show that for the vector $y=\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant p-1} e_{i} z_{j}, z_{j} \in W_{i}, z_{p-1} \neq 0$, the condition $N_{i y}=0$ means that $z_{p-1} \in \bar{W}_{i}$. By applying this assertion to all the vectors $y_{m}, m=0,1, \ldots, t$ we obtain $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{m}} \in \overline{\mathrm{W}}_{\mathrm{i}}$, as required. Let $\mathrm{e}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$. We have $0=\mathrm{e}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathrm{y}=\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant p-1} e_{i}^{j} e_{\mathrm{a}} z_{j}+\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant p-1}\left\{e_{\alpha}, e_{i}^{j}\right] z_{j}$. We note that $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{p}-1} \mathrm{e}_{\alpha^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{p}-1} \in \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and in view of (e) and since (ad $\left.\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{m} \mathrm{e}_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{all}^{0 \leqslant p-1} \mathrm{~m}$, all the remaining terms in our
sum lie in sum lie in $\underset{0 \leqslant i \leqslant p-2}{ } e_{i}^{i} W_{i}$. Therefore, in view of ( d ) (and by the condition $\mathrm{e}_{\alpha} y=0$ ) we must have $\mathrm{e}_{1}^{\mathrm{p}-1} \mathrm{e}_{\alpha} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}-1}=$ 0 , that is $\mathrm{e}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}-1}=0$. Thus the lemma is proved, then $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=0$.

LEMMA 3.3. If $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$ and $f_{\mathrm{i}} \overline{\mathrm{W}}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$.
Proof. In view of Lemma 3.2 we have $M_{i+1} \subseteq U_{i} \bar{W}_{i}$ 。By (c) there exists an $x \in M_{i+1}$ for which $f_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \neq 0 \text { for all } \mathrm{m} \leq \mathrm{p}-1 \text {. Let } x=\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant t} e_{i}^{l} x_{j}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \in \overline{\mathrm{W}}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}} \neq 0, \mathrm{j}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{t}<\mathrm{p} \text {. By considering } f_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x} \text { and }, ~}$
 by applying $f_{\mathrm{i}}$ to x exactly t times we obtain, as above, that $f_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{y} \in \overline{\mathrm{W}}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Since $\mathrm{y} \neq 0$ (because $\mathrm{t}<\mathrm{p}$ ) we have $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \cap \bar{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \neq 0$, that is, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} \neq 0$. Thus, we arrive at a contradiction.

LEMMA 3.4. Let $K_{i+1}=\left\{W \in W_{i+1}: e_{i x}=0\right\}$. Then $K_{i+1}=e_{i}^{p-1} W_{i}$.
Proof. We have $W_{i+1}=e_{i \leqslant i \leqslant p-1}^{i} W_{i}$. Since $l\left(e_{i}\right)=0$, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{i}}$. On the other hand if $0 \neq \mathrm{x} \in$ $x \in K_{i+1} \cap_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant p-2} e_{i}^{j} W_{i}$. by ( d ) $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i} x} \neq 0$. Thus the lemma is proved $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=0$.

LEMMA 3.5. $\bar{W}_{i+1} \approx e_{i}^{p-1} e_{i-1}^{p-1} \ldots e^{p-1} \bar{W}_{1} ; f_{i+1}=0$.
Proof. We put $q_{i}=e_{i}^{p-1} e_{i-1}^{p-1} \ldots e^{p-1}$. As for (d) it follows from the definition of the tensor product that

$$
W_{i+1}=\oint_{v \leqslant m_{j} \leqslant p-1}^{e_{i}^{m_{i}}} e_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}} \ldots e_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdot W_{1}
$$

 $y \in W_{i}$. Then $0=e_{i-1} x=e_{i}^{p-1}\left(e_{i-1} y\right)+\left[e_{i-1}, e_{i}^{p-1}\right] y$. Since by (e) we have $\left[e_{i-1}, e_{i}^{p-1}\right] y \in W_{i+1}^{\prime}$, we obtain $\left[b y\left(d^{\prime}\right)\right] e_{i-1} y=0$, that is, $x \in e_{i}^{p-1} \cdot e_{i-1}^{p-1} W_{i-1}$. When we apply $e_{i-2}, \ldots, e_{i}$ in succession to $x$ we obtain that $\bar{W}_{i+1} \subset q_{i} W_{1}$.

Now let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i+1}, x=q_{i} y, y \in W_{1}$. Again $e_{\alpha^{\prime}} \equiv q_{i}\left(e_{\alpha} y\right) \bmod W_{i+1}^{\prime}$. Since $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{+} \subset \Sigma_{m}$ for all m, we have $e_{\alpha} \in N_{i}$. Therefore, if $x \in \bar{W}_{i+1}$, then $e_{\alpha^{x}}=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$. Hence $e_{\alpha} y=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}$. We now note that $\mathrm{e}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathrm{W}_{1}=0$ for all $\gamma \in \Sigma$ : Therefore, $\left\{y \in \mathrm{~W}_{1}: \mathrm{e}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} y=0\right.$ for all $\left.\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \Sigma_{0}^{+}\right\}=\overline{\mathrm{W}}_{1}$. Thus $\overline{\mathrm{W}}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \subset$ $q_{i} \bar{W}_{1}$; that is, we have proved the first assertion.

Next suppose that $\underline{x}=q i y \in \bar{W}_{i+1}, y \in \bar{W}_{i}$. We have $-\alpha_{i+1} \in \Sigma_{i} \cap \Sigma^{+}$and $f_{i+1} x \equiv q_{i}\left(f_{i+1} y\right)$ mod $W_{i+1}^{*}$. since $f_{i+1} \bar{W}_{i+1} \subset \bar{W}_{i+1}, \bar{W}_{i+1} \subset q_{i} \bar{W}_{1}, f_{i+1} \bar{W}_{1}=0$, we have $f_{i+1}=0$ as required.
4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Since $\tilde{W}$ is a maximal $G$-submodule of $W$ we have $M_{1}=W_{1} \cap \tilde{W}=0$ (because $W_{1}$ is a simple $P$-module). We assume that $W_{t-1} \cap \widetilde{W}=0$, that is, $M_{t-1}=0$. We show that $M_{t}=0$. By Lemma $3.5 f_{t-1} \bar{W}_{t-1}=0$, whence by Lemma 3.3 we have $M_{t}=0$ as required.

Remark. The method of proving Theorem 2 (the extension of the properties of the algebras $A_{1}$ to arbitrary algebras of classical type) is similar to the method employed in [1, 2] (p. 443), and [13] (p. 123).
5. THEOREM 3. Let $V$ be a simple $G$-module and $l_{V} \neq 0$. We put $t=\min _{t \in G^{*} \backslash\{0\}}\left(\operatorname{dim} G-\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{G}_{l}\right) / 2$. Then $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}$ is divisible by $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t}}$.

Proof. Let $e_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ be a root vector. Since the Lie algebra $G$ is simple, the linear hull of the orbit of $\mathrm{e}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ under the action of the adjoint group coincides with $G$. Therefore, there is a Borel subalgebra $B$ and an ordering of its roots such that $l_{V}\left(e_{\theta}\right) \neq 0_{2}$ where $\theta$ is the highest root. Let $V_{1}$ be a simple submodule of the $B$-module V. By Theorem 1 there exist $l \in B^{*}$ and $H \in \mathscr{P}(B, \widetilde{l})$ for which the $B$-module $V_{1}$ is induced by a one-dimensional H-submodule. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=p_{i}$, where $t_{1}=(\operatorname{dim} B-\operatorname{dim} B \tilde{l}) / 2$. In addition $l(e \theta) \neq$ 0 , for otherwise we would have $\mathrm{e}_{\theta} \in \mathrm{B}_{\boldsymbol{l}} \subset \mathrm{C}$ and $l\left(\mathrm{e}_{\theta}\right)=\tilde{l}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\theta}\right) \neq 0$, which is impossible.

Let $l^{\prime} \in \mathrm{G}^{*}$ be a form such that $l^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\alpha}\right)=0$ for $\alpha \neq \theta, l^{\prime}(\mathrm{T})=0$ and $l^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\theta}\right) \neq 0$. Let $l^{\prime}=l^{\prime} \|_{\mathrm{B}}$. If $\mathrm{g}=$ $\sum_{a \in M} e_{a} \in B_{\tilde{l}}$, then it is obvious that $e_{\alpha} \in B_{\bar{l}}$, for the smallest root $\alpha$ from M. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim} \bar{B} \tilde{l} \leq \operatorname{dim} \bar{B}_{l}$. We have

$$
2 t_{1} \geqslant\left(\operatorname{dim} B-\operatorname{dim} B_{\tilde{l}^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\operatorname{dim} G-\operatorname{dim} G_{l^{\prime}}\right) \geqslant 2 t
$$

Thus $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}$ is divisible by $\mathrm{p}^{t}$. But by Corollary 2.6 all the composition factors of the $B$-module $V$ have the same dimension. Hence $\operatorname{dim} V$ is divisible by $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t}}$.

Remark. It appears that for every simple $G$-module $V \operatorname{dim} V$ is divisible by $p^{(\operatorname{dim} \Omega) / 2}$, where $\Omega=$ $\mathscr{G}(l v)$. Theorems 2 and 3 support this conjecture.

## 84. p-REPRESENTATIONS OF LIE ALGEBRAS OF CARTAN TYPE

An irreducible representation of a Lie p-algebra $G$ is called a p-representation if $l_{V}=0$. Everywhere in this section the word "module" means the module of a p-representation.

1. Let $\mathrm{G}=\underset{i \geqslant m}{\ominus} G_{i}$ be a Lie p-algebra of Cartan type with the natural gradation (see [5, 6]). It is known that
(a) If $G \neq W_{1}$, then $G_{-1}$ and $G_{1}$ generate $G$, and $G_{1}$ generates $\underset{i>0}{\oplus_{i}^{\prime}} G_{i}$.
(b) $\mathrm{G}_{-1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ consist of nilpotent elements.
2. Let V be a simple G -module. We put $\mathrm{G}^{-}=\underset{i \leqslant-1}{\ominus} G_{i}, G^{+}=\underset{i \geqslant 1}{\oplus} G_{i}, \mathrm{~V}^{ \pm}=\left\{\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{G}^{ \pm} \mathrm{v}=0\right\}$. It is obvious that $\mathrm{V}^{+}$and $\mathrm{V}^{-}$are submodules of the $\mathrm{G}_{0}$-module V .

THEOREM 4. a) $\mathrm{V}^{+}$and $\mathrm{V}^{-}$are simple $\mathrm{G}_{0}-$ modules.
b) For any simple $G_{0}$-module $V^{\prime}$ there are simple $G$-modules $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ such that the $G_{0}$-modules $V_{1}^{+}$ and $V_{2}^{-}$are isomorphic to $V^{\prime}$.
c) If $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are simple $G$-modules, and the $G_{0}$-modules $V_{1}^{+}$and $V_{2}^{+}$(respectively $V_{1}^{-}$and $V_{2}^{-}$) are isomorphic, then the $G$-modules $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. We restrict ourselves to the ${ }^{n}-$ " case. We show first that $W=V^{-} \cap G_{1} V$ is equal to 0 . Let $\mathrm{U}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right) \subset \mathrm{U}_{0}(\mathrm{G})$ be the subalgebra generated by $\mathrm{G}_{1}$, and let $\mathrm{U}_{0}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{U}_{0}^{\prime}(\mathrm{G}) \oplus \mathrm{k} \cdot 1$. We have that $\mathrm{U}_{0}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right) \mathrm{W} \subset$ $U_{0}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right) V \neq V$ since $U_{0}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is nilpotent (Property (b)). The space $U_{0}\left(G_{0}\right) W$ is a $G_{-1}$-submodule since $G_{-1} W=0$; it is obviously also a $G_{0}$ - and a $G_{1}$-submodule. We obtain from (a) that $U_{0}\left(G_{1}\right) W$ is a $G$-submodule. Since $\mathrm{U}_{0}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right) \mathrm{W} \neq \mathrm{V}$, and because V is a simple G -module we obtain that $\mathrm{U}_{0}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right) \mathrm{W}=0$ and in particular that $\mathrm{W}=0$.

We put $V_{0}=V^{-}, V_{i+1}=G_{i} V_{i}$. By reasoning as above we obtain that the sum of all the spaces $V_{i}$ is $V$. We show by induction that this sum is direct. We assume otherwise: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}+1} \cap \underset{\nu \leqslant i \leqslant m}{\oplus} V_{i} \ni v \neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{G}_{-1} \mathrm{~V} \neq 0$ since $\mathrm{W}=0$. But $\mathrm{G}_{-1} \mathrm{~V} \subset V_{m} \cap_{i \leqslant 0 \leqslant m-1}^{\oplus} V_{i} \quad$ which is impossible. Thus $V=\oplus_{i \geqslant 0} V_{i}$. It follows from here that the $G_{0}$-module $V_{0}$ is simple, for if $\vec{V}_{0}$ is a proper submodule of the $G_{0}-$ module $V_{0}$, it is obvious that $U_{0}\left(G_{1}\right) \bar{V}_{0}$ is a proper submodule of the $G$-module $V$.

To prove b) we define the action of $G^{-} \oplus G_{0}$ on $V^{\prime}$ by putting $G^{-} V^{\prime}=0$. Then the desired $G$-module is a factor-module of $V_{0}^{G}$. Every simple $G$-module $V$ can be obtained in this way. Since $V=G_{i \geqslant 0} V_{i}$, the kernel of the mapping $\varphi: V_{0}^{\prime G} \rightarrow V$ is homogeneous with respect to the decomposition $V_{0}^{\prime} G V_{0}^{G}=\bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} G_{1}^{i} V^{\prime}$; in view of the simplicity of the $\mathrm{G}_{0}$-module $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ this kernel does not intersect $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. Thus the kernel of the mapping $\varphi$ is defined uniquely as the sum of the homogeneous submodules of the $G$-module $V_{0}^{\prime} G$ s, and $c$ ) is proved.

We obtain the following corollary from Theorem 4 and Lemma 2.4.
COROLLARY 1. Let $B$ be a Borel subalgebra of $G_{0} \subset G, B \supset T$, where $T$ is the maximal torus of $G_{0}$, and let $h_{i}$ be a basis of $T$ for which $h_{i}[p]=h_{i}$. We put $B^{ \pm}=G^{ \pm} \oplus B$. Then every simple $G$-module is isomorphic to a factor-module of a G -module induced by a one-dimensional $\mathrm{B}^{-}$-module (respectively $\mathrm{B}^{+}-$ module), and is determined uniquely by the latter, that is, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the $p$-representations of the Lie algebra $G$ and the linear forms $\lambda_{+} \in T *$ for which $\lambda_{+}\left(h_{i}\right) \in F_{F}$ (respectively $\lambda_{-}$)。

A similar description of the p-representations of a Lie algebra of classical type was obtained in [12].
COROLLARY 2. Let $V$ and $V^{*}$ be contragredient simple $G$-modules. Then the $G_{0}$-modules ( $V^{+}$)* and $\left(V^{*}\right)^{-}$are isomorphic. There exists a non-degenerate, invariant, bilinear form on $V$ if and only if the $G_{0}-$ modules ( $\mathrm{V}^{+}$)* and $\mathrm{V}^{-}$are isomorphic.

Proof. Let $V^{\prime}=G_{1} V, \bar{V}^{*}=\left\{v \in V^{*}: v\left(V^{\prime}\right)=0\right\}$. Then $\bar{V}^{*}=\left(V^{*}\right)^{+}$, and consequently, there is a nondegenerate pairing between $\mathrm{V}^{-} \cong \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ and $\left(\mathrm{V}^{*}\right)^{+}$; this proves the first assertion. The second assertion is equivalent to the isomorphism condition on the $G$-modules $V^{*}$ and $V$, hence it follows from the first assertion and from Theorem 4c).

We thank E. B. Vinberg, D. A. Kazhdan, G. L. Litvinov, and A. D. Rudakov for useful discussions.
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