

## A. Computational lemmas

Let  $\tilde{f}(x) := (2x+1)^{2 \log_3(2x+1)}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , and  $\tilde{f}(n) = f(n)$  if  $n > 2$ ,  
 $\tilde{f}(2) := 60$ .

(A1) Lemma. If  $x, y, t \in \mathbb{N}$  then

(a)  $\tilde{f}(x) \tilde{f}(y) \leq \tilde{f}(xy)$  if  $x \geq 2, y \geq 2$

(b)  $t! (\tilde{f}(x))^t \leq \tilde{f}(x^t)$  if  $x \geq 2, t \geq 1$

(c)  $1.025 \tilde{f}(4) \tilde{f}(y) \leq \tilde{f}(4y)$  if  $y \geq 2$

(d)  $(1.025 \tilde{f}(4))^t t! \leq \tilde{f}(4^t)$  if  $t \geq 2$ .

Proof. Consider  $F(x, y) := \ln f(x) + \ln f(y) - \ln f(xy)$ .

We have  $\partial F / \partial x = (8/\ln 3) \ln(2x+1)/(2x+1) + 2/(2x+1)$

$-(8/\ln 3) \ln(2xy+1)/(2xy+1) - 2y/(2xy+1)$ . Note

that  $1/(2x+1) < y/(2xy+1) = 1/(2x+1/y)$  for  $x \geq 0$ ,

$y > 1$ , i.e.,  $\partial F(x, y) / \partial x < 0$  for  $x \geq 0, y > 1$ . Hence

$F(x, y) \leq F(2, y)$  for  $y > 1$ .

Then  $dF(2,y)/dy = (8/\ln 3) \ln(2y+1)/(2y+1) + 2/(2y+1)$   
 $-(16/\ln 3) \ln(4y+1)/(4y+1) - 4/(4y+1)$  and the  
 inequality  $2/(2y+1) < 4/(4y+1)$  for  $y > 1$  whence

$F(2,y) < F(2,4)$ . Now one checks directly

that  $F(2,4) < -0.87$  thus proving (a) if

$x$  or  $y \geq 4$ . One checks directly that

$$\tilde{f}(2) \tilde{f}(2) = 3600 < f(4) = 59,049, \quad \tilde{f}(2) \tilde{f}(3) = 414000$$

$$< f(6) = 2,067,423, \quad f(3) \tilde{f}(3) = 47,610,000 < f(9) = 1.35 \cdot 10^8.$$

This proves (a).

To prove (b) it is sufficient to assume that  $t \geq 2$ . We consider as above

$$F(t, x) := \ln t! + t \ln f(x) - \ln f(x^t).$$

We have  $\partial F(t, x) / \partial x = t f'(x) / f(x) - t x^{t-1} f'(x^t) / f(x^t)$

$< 0$  as above. Thus  $F(t, x) < F(t, 3)$  for  $x > 3, t \geq 1$ . We

consider  $t$  now as a real variable.

$$F(t, 3) = \ln \Gamma(1+t) + t \left( \frac{2}{\ln 3} \ln^2 7 + \ln 7 \right) - \left( \frac{2}{\ln 3} \ln^2 (2 \cdot 3^t + 1) \right) - \ln (2 \cdot 3^t + 1).$$

Write  $2 \cdot 3^t = 3^{t + \log_3 2} \leq 3^{t + 0.63}$  and then

$$\ln (2 \cdot 3^t + 1) \leq \ln (2 \cdot 3^t) \leq \ln 3 (t + 0.63). \quad \text{Then}$$

using the Stirling inequality for the  $\Gamma$ -function

(see ) we get

$$F(t, 3) \leq (t + \frac{1}{2}) \ln t - t + 0.92 + \frac{1}{12}t + 8.84t - 2 \ln 3 (t + 0.63)^2 - \ln 3 (t + 0.63)$$

$$\leq (t + \frac{1}{2}) \ln t - 2.19t^2 + 4t - 0.6 =: h(t)$$

(we replaced  $1/12t$  by  $1/24$  since  $t \geq 2$ ).

$$\text{Now } h'(t) = \ln t + (t + 1/2)/t - 4.38t + 4 \leq \ln t - 4.38t + 5.25$$

$$h''(t) = 1/t - 4.38. \text{ Since } h''(t) < 0 \text{ for } t > 2, h'(t) < h'(2)$$

$$= -2.8 < 0, \text{ i.e. } \underbrace{\text{for } t > 2,}_{\text{i.e.}} h(t) < h(3) = -4.46 \text{ for } t \geq 3, \text{ i.e.,}$$

(b) holds if  $x \geq 3, t \geq 3$ . One checks:

$$2 \cdot \tilde{f}(3) \cdot f(3) = 9.52 \cdot 10^7 < f(9) = 1.36 \cdot 10^8 \text{ whence (b) holds}$$

for  $x = 3$ .

As before we have  $F(t, x) \leq F(t, 4)$  for  $x \geq 4, t \geq 1$ . So to prove (b) for  $x \geq 4$  it suffices

to prove it for  $x = 4$ . Instead we will prove

the stronger claim (d). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln \left( (1.025 f(4))^t \cdot \Gamma(1+t) / f(4^t) \right) \leq (t + 1/2) \ln t - t + 0.92 \\ & + 1/12t + 11.011t - (2/\ln 3) \ln^2(2^{2t+1} + 1) - \ln(2^{2t+1} + 1) \\ & \leq (t + 1/2) \ln t - t + 0.96 \underbrace{(- (2/\ln 3) \ln^2 2 (2t+1)^2)}_{0.87} - \underbrace{(\ln 2)(2t+1)}_{0.69} \\ & \leq (t + 1/2) \ln t - 3.48t^2 + 5.15t - 0.6 =: h_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

-1.72

Now the same argument as above gives  $h_1(t) < h_1(2) = -1.72 < 0$  whence (d) holds for  $t \geq 2$  whence

(b) holds for  $x \geq 4$ . It remains to check that

(b) holds for  $x=2$ . We have

$$\ln(\Gamma(1+t)(60)^t / f(2^t)) \leq (t+1/2)\ln t - 0.87t^2 + 0.67t - 0.6 =: h_2(t)$$

with  $h_2(2) = -0.24 < 0$  and  $h_2'(t) < 0$  for  $t \geq 2$  whence

as above (b) for  $x=2$ .

We skip the proof of (c) as it is the same as that of (a).

Let  $g(x) := \Gamma(x+3)$ . Recall that  $\Gamma(n+3) = (n+2)!$  if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(A2) Lemma. Let  $x, y, t \in \mathbb{N}$

(a)  $g(x)g(y) \leq g(xy)$  if  $x, y \geq 2$ ;

(b)  $t!(g(x))^t \leq g(x^t)$  if  $x \geq 3, t \geq 1$ .

Proof. We have  $g(x)g(y) \leq (x+2)! \prod_{x+3 \leq i \leq x+y+4} i$   
 $= (x+y+4)!$  One easily checks

that  $xy+2 \geq x+y+2$  if  $x \geq 3$  and  $y \geq 3$ .

If  $x=2$  then  $g(x)g(y) = 2(y+2)! \leq$

$(2y+2)!$ . Thus (a) holds if  $x \geq 2, y \geq 2$

as claimed.

To prove (b) take logarithms and

consider  $F(x,t) := \ln t! + t \ln g(x) - \ln g(x^t)$ .

Thus  $F(x,t) = \ln \Gamma(1+t) + t \ln \Gamma(x+3) - \ln \Gamma(x^t+3)$

Then  $\partial F / \partial x = t (\ln \Gamma)'(x+3) - t x^{t-1} (\ln \Gamma)'(x^t+3)$

A2

Since  $(\ln \Gamma)'(x)$  is strictly increasing  
for  $x \geq 2$  (by [ ]), we see that  $\partial F / \partial x < 0$

for any  $t$ . Thus  $F(x, t) < F(\cdot, t) =$

$$\ln \Gamma(1+t) + t(\ln 120) - \ln \Gamma(3^t + 3)$$

and  $dF(3, t)/dt = (\ln \Gamma)'(1+t) -$

$$(\ln 3) 3^t (\ln \Gamma)'(3^t + 3) \text{ which is } < 0$$

for  $t \geq 1$ ,  
as before (by [ ]). Hence

$$F(x, t) < F(3, t) < F(3, 2) = -7.234$$

(A3) Lemma. (a)  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  if  $x \geq 10$

(b)  $x^2 f(x) \leq g(x)$  if  $x \geq 14$

(c)  $x f(x) \leq g(x)$  if  $x \geq 13$

Proof. Taking logarithms of both sides we see that the left ones produce a concave (for  $x \geq 2$ ) function and the right one a convex one.

One checks that the reverse inequalities hold for  $x = 2$ . Therefore there is just one intersection point of curves representing two sides.

Since (a) (resp. (b)) can be checked to hold for  $x = 10$  (resp  $x = 14$ ) the claim follows from the above remarks.

(A5) Lemma.  $2 \cdot 2^{2x^2+x} f(y) \leq f(2^x y)$

if  $\sqrt{y} \geq 2^{\beta x}$  where  $\beta = (\log 3 - 1)/2 = 0.29248125$

Proof. As usual set (with  $y = 2^{\alpha x}$ ,  $\alpha$  variable)

$$F(x) := \ln 2 + (2x^2+x)\ln 2 + (2/\ln 3) \left( \ln(2^{\alpha x+1} + 1) \right)^2 \\ + \ln(2^{(\alpha+1)x+1} + 1) - (2/\ln 3) \left( \ln(2^{(\alpha+1)x+1} + 1) \right)^2 \\ - \ln(2^{(\alpha+1)x+1} + 1)$$

$$\leq \ln 2 + (2x^2+x)\ln 2 + (2/\ln 3) \left( \ln 2^{\alpha x+1} + \ln(1 + 2^{-\alpha x-1}) \right)^2 \\ + \ln 2^{\alpha x+1} + \ln(1 + 2^{-\alpha x-1}) \\ - (2/\ln 3) \left( \ln 2^{(\alpha+1)x+1} \right)^2 - \ln 2^{(\alpha+1)x+1}$$

$$\leq \ln 2 + (2x^2+x)\ln 2 + (2/\ln 3) \left( (\ln 2) \cdot (\alpha x+1) + 2^{-\alpha x-1} \right)^2 \\ + (\ln 2)(\alpha x+1) + 2^{-\alpha x-1} \\ - (2/\ln 3) (\ln 2)^2 ((\alpha+1)x+1)^2 - (\ln 2)((\alpha+1)x+1)$$

(we used first term approximation to

A5.

$\ln(1+\varepsilon)$ , i.e.  $\ln(1+\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small).

Expanding and collecting the terms

with like powers of  $x$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) \leq & (2\ln 2) \left( 1 - 2(\ln 2/\ln 3)^\alpha - \ln 2/\ln 3 \right) x^2 \\ & + \ln 2 \left( (4/\ln 3)^\alpha \cdot 2^{-\alpha x-1} - \alpha - 4\ln 2/\ln 3 \right) x \\ & + \left( 4(\ln 2) 2^{-\alpha x-1} + 2^{-2\alpha x-1} \right) / \ln 3 \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of  $x^2$  is non-positive if  $\alpha \geq (\log_3 - 1)/2$ .  
Assuming  $\alpha \geq (\log_3 - 1)/2$  and recalling  
that  $x \geq 2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) \leq & \ln 2 \left( (4/\ln 3)^\alpha \cdot 2^{-1.58} - \alpha - 4\ln 2/\ln 3 \right) x \\ & + \left( 4(\ln 2) 2^{-1.58} + 2^{-2.16} \right) / \ln 3 \\ \leq & -1.697 x + 1.05 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $F(x) < 0$  for  $x \geq 2$  and  $y = 2^{\alpha x} \geq 2^{\beta x}$  as  
claimed.

(A5.1) Remark. Our argument also shows that

$y \geq 2^{\beta x}$  is the best one can get.

A6

For a simple group  $G$  isomorphic to  $Suz$ ,  ${}^2A_1$ ,  ${}^2A_2$ ,  
 ${}^2\bar{A}_3(9)$ , (or  $D_4(2)$ ), take the numbers  $a_1, a_2$  from Table  
 T7.2 (or from Table TA6 below) Set,  
 as in (7.1),

$$F(G, n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n < a_1 \\ |Aut G| & \text{if } a_1 \leq n < a_2 \\ f(n) & \text{if } n \geq a_2 \end{cases}$$

Set

$$F(H, n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \leq 3 \\ f(n) & \text{if } n \geq 4 \end{cases}$$

for other sporadic simple groups.

(AG) Lemma. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two sporadic simple groups or isomorphic to  ${}^2\bar{A}_3(9)$ . Let  $F_i(n) := F(G_i, n)$ ,  $i=1, 2$ . Then

$$(a) F_1(n) F_2(m) \leq f(nm) \quad \text{for } n, m \geq 2, F_1(n) \neq 1, F_2(m) \neq 1$$

$$(b) t! (F_1(n))^t \leq f(n^t) \quad \text{for } n \geq 2, t \geq 2,$$

$$(c) f(m) F_1(n) \leq f(nm) \quad \text{for } m \geq 4, n \geq 2$$

$$(d) \tilde{f}(m) F_1(n) \leq f(nm) \quad \text{for } m \geq 2, n \geq 2 \text{ unless}$$

$$G_1 \cong \cdot 1 \text{ and } n \leq 37.$$

$$(e) 1.025 f(4) F_1(n) \leq f(4n)$$

Proof. The claims follow from (A1)(a), (b) if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are not isomorphic to  $Suz$ ,  $\cdot 1$ ,  $\cdot 2$ ,  $D_4(2)$ , or  ${}^2\bar{A}_3(9)$ . If  $G_2$  is not isomorphic to the 5 above groups then (a) follows from (c).

Thus we may and shall assume that both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are isomorphic to  $Suz$ ,  $\cdot 1$ ,  $\cdot 2$ ,  $D_4(2)$ , or  ${}^2\bar{A}_3(9)$ .

Next, if in (a)  $n \geq a_2$  where  $a_2$  is for  $G_1$  from Table T7.2 or TA6 then  $F_1(n) = f(n)$  and (a) follows from (c). If in (a) we have further  $m \geq (a_2 \text{ for } G_2)$  then (a) follows from (A1)(a). Thus to prove (a) (modulo (c)) it is sufficient to check that  $F_1(n) F_2(m) \leq f(nm)$  if  $(a_1 \text{ for } G_1) \leq n < (a_2 \text{ for } G_1)$ ,  $(a_1 \text{ for } G_2) \leq m < (a_2 \text{ for } G_2)$ , i.e., that  $|Aut G_1| \cdot |Aut G_2| \leq f((a_1 \text{ for } G_1) \cdot (a_1 \text{ for } G_2))$ . This

is verified directly (in the 25 cases). Thus it remains to prove (b), (c), and (d).

There again if  $n \geq a_2$  (now there is only one group) then (b), (c), (d) follow from (A1)(a), (b). If  $n < a_1$  they become trivial. Thus it remains to verify (b), (c), (d) for  $a_1 \leq n < a_2$ . In these cases  $F_1(n) = |\text{Aut} G_1|$  and the claims will follow if they hold for  $n = a_1$ .

For (b) we have (as in the proof of (A1)(b))

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\Gamma(1+t) |G_1|^t / f(a_1^t)) &\leq (t+1/2) \ln t - t + 0.96 + t \ln |G_1| \\ &\quad - (2/\ln 3)(t \ln a_1 + \ln 2)^2 - (t \ln a_1 + \ln 2) = \\ &= (t+1/2) \ln t - (2/\ln 3)(\ln^2 a_1) t^2 + (\ln |G_1| - 1 - (4/\ln 3)(\ln a_1)(\ln 2) - \ln a_1) t \\ &\quad - 0.6. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of the above expression are given explicitly in Table T A 6. One easily establishes, as in the proof of (A1)(b) that the above function is negative for  $t \geq 2$  whence

(A6)(b)

To prove (c) <sup>and (d)</sup> consider

$r(x) := \ln(f(x)|\text{Aut}G_1| / f(a_1, x))$ . One has  $r'(x) =$

$$(2/\ln 3) \ln(2x+1)/(2x+1) + 2/(2x+1) - (2/\ln 3) \ln(2a_1x+1)/(2a_1x+1) - 2a_1/(2a_1x+1) < 0 \text{ for } x \geq 2. \text{ Thus}$$

$r(x) \leq r(3)$  and from Table TA 6 one

sees that  $r(3) < 0$  if  $G_1 \cong \text{Sym}_3 \cdot 2$   <sup>$D_4(2)_2$</sup>  <sub>or  $A_3(9)$</sub> .

We also have  $r(x) \leq r(4)$  and  $r(4) < 0$  for

$G_1 \cong \cdot 1$ . This proves (c) and (d) except when  $m=2$ .

In this latter case one verifies

$60 \cdot |\text{Aut}G_1| < f(2a_1)$  from Table TA 6. Finally,

it is sufficient to check (e) for  $n=a_1$  and this

is done directly.

Table TAG (the last 2 rows to be used later).

$$G \approx {}^2\bar{A}_3(9) \quad D_4(2) \quad \text{Surz} \quad \cdot 1 \quad \cdot 2$$

$$a_1 \quad 6 \quad 8 \quad 12 \quad 24 \quad 20$$

$$a_2 \quad 7 \quad 9 \quad 18 \quad 49 \quad 24$$

$$|G| \quad 3.26 \cdot 10^6 \quad 1.74 \cdot 10^8 \quad 4.48 \cdot 10^{11} \quad 4.16 \cdot 10^{18} \quad 4.23 \cdot 10^{13}$$

$$|Aut G| \quad 8 \cdot |G| \quad 6 |G| \quad 2 |G| \quad |G| \quad |G|$$

$$F(G, 2a_1) \quad 3.89 \cdot 10^9 \quad 1.53 \cdot 10^9 \quad 4.63 \cdot 10^{13} \quad 4.16 \cdot 10^{18} \quad 1.5 \cdot 10^{17}$$

$$F(G, 3a_1) \quad 7.53 \cdot 10^{11} \quad 4.63 \cdot 10^{13} \quad 2.62 \cdot 10^{16} \quad 5.54 \cdot 10^{21} \quad 1.85 \cdot 10^{20}$$

$$\ln(f(3) \cdot |Aut G| / f(3a_1)) \quad -1.43 \quad -1.86 \quad -1.44 \quad 1.65 \quad -6.45$$

$$(2/\ln 3) \ln^2 a_1 \quad 5.84 \quad 7.87 \quad 11.24 \quad 18.38 \quad 16.34$$

$$\ln |Aut G| - \ln a_1 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} 9.77 \\ -(4/\ln 3)(\ln a_1) \ln 2 \end{array} \right\} \quad 12.44 \quad 17.77 \quad 30.68 \quad 19.82$$

$$24 |Aut G| \quad 6.26 \cdot 10^8 \quad 2.57 \cdot 10^{10} \quad 2.15 \cdot 10^{13} \quad 1 \cdot 10^{20} \quad 10^{15}$$

$$64 a_1 f(a_1) \quad 5.3 \cdot 10^8 \quad 1.93 \cdot 10^{10} \quad 3 \cdot 10^{12} \quad 7 \cdot 10 \cdot 10^{16} \quad 4.2 \cdot 10^{15}$$

(A7) Lemma. (a)  $f(n) \geq 4.796 n^{2 \log_3 n + 3.5}$  for  $n \geq 2$ ,

(b)  $n f(n) \leq n^{2 \log_3 n + 5}$  for  $n \geq 4$ ,

(c)  $n f(n) \leq 2 n^{2 \log_3 n + 1}$  for  $n \geq 37$

(d)  $2 n^{2 \log_3 n + 1} \cdot 2 m^{2 \log_3 m + 1} \leq 2 (nm)^{2 \log_3 (nm) + 1}$  for  $n, m \geq 2$ .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2n+1)^{2 \log_3 (2n+1)} &\geq (2n)^{2 \log_3 2n + 1} \\ &= 2^{(2/\log 3)(1 + \log n) + 1} \cdot n^{(2/\log 3)(1 + \log n) + 1} \\ &= 2^{(2/\log 3) + 1} \cdot n^{2 \log_3 n + 4/\log 3 + 1} \geq 4.796 n^{2 \log_3 n + 3.5} \end{aligned}$$

whence (a)

A 7

To prove (b) write

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f(x) &= (2/\ln 3) (\ln(2x+1))^2 + \ln(2x+1) \\ &= (2/\ln 3) (\ln 2x + \ln(1+1/2x))^2 + \ln 2x + \ln(1+1/2x) \\ &\leq (2/\ln 3) (\ln 2 + \ln x + 1/2x)^2 + \ln 2 + \ln x + 1/2x \\ &=: h(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } h'(x) &= (4/\ln 3) (1/x - 1/2x^2) (\ln 2 + \ln x + 1/2x) \\ &\quad + 1/x - 1/2x^2 \leq (4/\ln 3 + 1)/x + 4\ln x/x \ln 3 + 2/x^2 \ln 3 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $v(x) := x^{2 \log x + 4}$  then

$$(\ln v)'(x) = 4 \ln x / \ln 2 + 4/x$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \ln x / x \ln 3 - 4 \ln x / x \ln 2 &= 4 \ln x / x (1/\ln 3 - 1/\ln 2) \geq 2 \ln x / x \\ &\geq 4/x \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \geq 4$ . Therefore for  $x \geq 4$

$$(\ln v)'(x) - h'(x) \geq 8/x - (4/\ln 3 + 1)/x - 2/4x \ln 3 = 2.9/x$$

Thus  $\ln v$  increases faster than  $h(x)$  for  $x \geq 4$ .

Since  $h(4) \leq 11.06$  and  $\ln v(4) \geq 11.09$  we

A7

get that  $\ln v(x) > h(x)$  for  $x \geq 4$ . Since  $h(x) \leq \ln f(x)$  this implies (b).

To prove (c) we set  $s(x) := 2x^{2 \log x}$ .

Then  $(\ln s)'(x) = (4/x \ln 2) \ln x$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h'(x) - (\ln s)'(x) &= 4(1/\ln 3 - 1/\ln 2)(\ln x)/x + (4/\ln 3 + 1)/x + 2/x^2 \ln 3 \\ &\leq -2.1298 \ln x/x + 4.641/x + 1.8205/x^2 \end{aligned}$$

and, for  $x \geq 37$ ,

$$\leq (-7.69 + 4.641 + 0.05)/x < 0.$$

Thus  $\ln s(x)$  grows faster than  $h(x)$  for

$x \geq 37$ . We have  $\ln s(37) = 38.31$  and

$h(37) = 38.25$  whence (c).

A7

For (d) dividing right-hand side by the left-hand side we have

$$2^{-1} n^{2 \log n + 2 \log m + 1} \cdot m^{2 \log n + 2 \log m + 1} / n^{2 \log n + 1} \cdot m^{2 \log m + 1}$$

$$= 2^{-1} n^{2 \log m} \cdot m^{2 \log n}$$

which is, clearly,  $> 1$  if  $n \geq 2, m \geq 2$ , as claimed.

$$\text{Set } s(x) := 2x^{2\log x + 1}$$

(A8) Lemma. Let  $H$  be a sporadic group or centrally isomorphic to  ${}^2A_3(9)$  and  $F(n)$  the function associated to  $H$  in (A6)(e). Then

$$s(m)F(n) \leq s(nm) \text{ for } n \geq 2, m \geq 128.$$

The proof is, essentially, the same as that of (A6)(c). We have to use only that  $s(m)s(n) \leq s(mn)$  if  $m \geq 128, n \geq 6$ ,  $s(m)f(n) \leq s(nm)$  if  $n \geq 6, m \geq 128$ , and then to check the claim  $\forall a_1, a_2, |H|$  for each of the groups in question.

(A9) Lemma. (a)  $t!((n+2)!)^t \leq (nt+2)!$  for  $t \geq 1, n > 12$

(b)  $(n+2)!(m+2)! \leq (n+m+2)!$  for  $n, m > 12$ .

Proof. Consider  $F(t, x) := \ln \Gamma(t+1) + t \ln \Gamma(x+3) -$

$\ln \Gamma(tx+3)$ . We have  $\partial F / \partial x = t (\ln \Gamma)'(x+3) -$

$-t (\ln \Gamma)'(tx+3) \leq 0$  if  $x \geq 2, t \geq 1$  since

$(\ln \Gamma)'(s+1)$  increases as a function of  $s$

for  $s \geq$  by A0. We can assume  $x \geq 13,$

$t \geq 2$ . Thus for these  $t$  and  $x$

$$F(t, x) \geq F(t, 13) = \ln \Gamma(t+1) + t \ln \Gamma(16) - \ln \Gamma(13t+3).$$

We have  $(\ln \Gamma)''(t+1) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (t+i)^{-2}$  by A0.

Therefore

$$d^2 F(t, 13) / dt^2 = \sum_{i \geq 1} (t+i)^{-2} - 169 \sum_{i \geq 1} (13t+2+i)^{-2} <$$

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} (t+i)^{-2} - 169 \sum_{i \geq 1} (13t+2+13i)^{-2} - 169 (13t+2+i)^{-2}$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 1} (t+i)^{-2} - \sum_{i \geq 1} (t+i+\frac{2}{13})^{-2} - (t+\frac{2}{13})^{-2}$$

\*

$$< (t+1)^{-2} - (t+3/13)^{-2} < 0$$

Thus  $dF(t, 13)/dt$  strictly decreases for  $t \geq 2$ .

We have  $dF/dt(2, 13) = (\ln \Gamma)'(3) + \ln \Gamma(16) - 13(\ln \Gamma)'(29)$

$$= (-\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^2 1/i) + 27.97 + 13(\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{28} 1/i)$$

$$= 12\gamma + 1.5 + 27.9 - 13 \cdot 3.927 = -14.7 < 0$$

✓ (we used A0). Thus, since  $dF(t, 13)/dt$  decreases for  $t \geq 2$  we have that  $dF(t, 13)/dt < 0$  for  $t \geq 2$ . Thus  $F(t, 13)$  decreases for  $t \geq 2$ . Since  $F(2, 13) = \ln(3!) + 2 \ln(15!) - \ln(28!) = -11 < 0$  our claim (a) follows.

To prove (b) note that

$$(n+m+2)! / (n+2)!(m+2)! = (n+3)(n+4) \cdots (n+m+2) / (m+2)!$$

$$= 1 \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot ((n+3)/3)((n+4)/4) \cdots \geq 2^{-1} (13+3)/3 = 16/6 > 1$$

whence (b).

(A10) Lemma. If  $a^m \cdot m! / (bm+2)! < 1$  for some  $m$   
then it is  $< 1$  for all larger  $m$ .