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Theorem (criticality of $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ). Let $d=1,2$. For any non-positive measurable $V$,

$$
\inf \sigma\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\mathbb{R}^{d}}+V\right)<0
$$
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- oriented submanifold $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ complete and non-compact, $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma<d$
$\bullet$ orthonormal vector fields $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\text {codim } \Sigma}: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- cross-section $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{\text {codim } \Sigma}$
bounded domain
- tube $\Omega:=\left\{x+\sum_{j=1}^{\operatorname{codim} \Sigma} t_{j} n_{j}(x):(x, t) \in \Sigma \times \omega\right\}$

Assumption. No self-intersections.
$\longrightarrow$ unbounded geometry
$\longrightarrow$ uniform cross-section
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## The essential spectrum

Theorem ([D.K., Lu 2014 (J. Math. Phys.)]). If
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## The essential spectrum

Theorem ([D.K., Lu 2014 (J. Math. Phys.)]). If

- $\Sigma$ is asymptotically flat ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ fundamental form goes to 0 at infinity),
and
- the transport of $\omega$ along $\Sigma$ is asymptotically parallel (relevant only if codim $\Sigma \geq 2$ ), then

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}\right)=\left[E_{1}, \infty\right)
$$

$$
E_{1}:=\min \sigma\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\omega}\right)
$$

Proof. $\quad-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega} \simeq-G^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{i} G^{\frac{1}{2}} G^{i j} \partial_{j}$

$$
L^{2}(\Omega) \quad L^{2}(\Sigma \times \omega, \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t)
$$

Weyl's criterion adapted to quadratic forms:


$$
\lambda \in \sigma(H) \Longleftrightarrow \exists\left\{\psi_{n}\right\} \subset \underbrace{\operatorname{Dom}\left(H^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|\psi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}=1 \\
\left\|(H-\lambda) \psi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{*}} \rightarrow 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\operatorname{Dom}(H) \subset \mathcal{H}_{1} \subset \mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}^{*} \subset \mathcal{H}_{1}^{*}
$$

## Quantum layers: the discrete spectrum

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=2, \operatorname{codim} \Sigma=1
$$

Theorem ([Duclos, Exner, D.K. 2001 (Comm. Math. Phys.)], [Carron, Exner, D.K. 2004 (J. Math. Phys.)]).
Let $K \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$ and $\Sigma \neq \mathbb{R}^{2}$. If

- $\int_{\Sigma} K \leq 0$,
or

- $\omega$ is thin enough,
$\stackrel{\text { or }}{\bullet} \int_{\Sigma} M^{2}=\infty$ but $\nabla M \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$,
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Theorem ([Duclos, Exner, D.K. 2001 (Comm. Math. Phys.)], [Carron, Exner, D.K. 2004 (J. Math. Phys.)]).
Let $K \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$ and $\Sigma \neq \mathbb{R}^{2}$. If

- $\int_{\Sigma} K \leq 0$,
or
- $\omega$ is thin enough,
or $\int_{\Sigma} M^{2}=\infty$ but $\nabla M \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$,

or $\Sigma \supset$ cylindrically symmetric end $E$ with $\int_{E} K>0$, then

$$
\inf \sigma\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}\right)<E_{1} .
$$

Corollary. If $\Sigma$ is asymptotically flat and any of the conditions above hold, then

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{disc}}\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}\right) \neq \varnothing
$$



Proof. Test function $1 \times \mathcal{J}_{1}$ where $\mathcal{J}_{1}$ is the first eigenfunction of $-\Delta_{D}^{\omega}$, etc. q.e.d.
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## Quantum layers: further results

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=2, \operatorname{codim} \Sigma=1
$$

- extensions to higher dimensions and codimensions [Lin, Lu 2006], [Lin, Lu 2007]
- alternative sufficient conditions [Lin, Lu 2007], [Lu, Rowlett 2012]
- extensions to tubes in curved ambient manifolds [D.K. 2003], [D.K. 2006], [Kolb, D.K. 2014],
 [Wachsmuth, Teufel 2013]
- magnetic field $-\Delta \leadsto(-i \nabla-A)^{2}$
[D.K., Raymond, Tušek 2015]
Theorem ([D.K., Raymond, Tušek 2015 (J. Geom. Anal.)]).
Replace $\omega \mapsto \varepsilon \omega$ with $\varepsilon>0$. Then $(\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=2, \operatorname{codim} \Sigma=1, A=0)$

$$
-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{E_{1}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \quad \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{\text { n.r.s. }} \quad-\Delta^{\Sigma}+K-M^{2}
$$

$$
N B \quad K-M^{2}=-\frac{1}{4}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)^{2} \leq 0
$$
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Theorem ([Chenaud, Duclos, Freitas, D.K. 2005 (Differential Geom. Appl.)]).
If $\kappa \neq 0$ and $\dot{\theta}=0$, then
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If, in addition, $\lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(s)=0$, then
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bending acts as an attractive interacion
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Proof. $-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega} \simeq-\left(\partial_{s}-\dot{\theta}(s) \partial_{\tau}\right)^{2}-\Delta_{t} \geq-\Delta_{t} \geq E_{1}$
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\begin{aligned}
& (s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \omega \\
& \partial_{\tau}:=t_{2} \partial_{t_{1}}-t_{1} \partial_{t_{2}} \quad \text { q.e.d. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem ([Ekholm, Kovařík, D.K. 2008 (Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.)]).
If $\kappa=0, \quad \dot{\theta} \neq 0, \dot{\theta} \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega$ is not circular, then there exists $c>0$,
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\forall \psi \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)
$$

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \psi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-E_{1} \int_{\Omega}|\psi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq c \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\psi(x)|^{2}}{1+|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$
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Corollary. Let $\dot{\theta} \neq 0, \dot{\theta} \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega$ is not circular. Then there exists $\epsilon>0$,
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## Twisting versus bending

Corollary. Let $\dot{\theta} \neq 0, \dot{\theta} \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega$ is not circular. Then there exists $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|\kappa(s)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1+s^{2}} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sigma\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}\right)=\left[E_{1}, \infty\right)
$$

Theorem ([D.K., Šediváková 2012 (Rev. Math. Phys.)]).
Replace $\omega \mapsto \varepsilon \omega$ with $\varepsilon>0$. Then

$$
-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{E_{1}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{\text { n.r.s. }} \quad-\Delta^{\Sigma}-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{4}+\left\|\partial_{\tau} \mathcal{J}_{1}\right\|^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}
$$

Remark. Previous related results:
[Bouchitté, Mascarenhas, Trabucho 2007], [Wachsmuth, Teufel 2013], [de Oliveira 2010].

## Application to the heat equation

$\left\{\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta_{x} u & =0, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x) .\end{aligned}\right.$

| $u(t) \sim t^{-1 / 4} e^{-E_{1} t} u_{0}$ | $u(t) \sim e^{-\lambda_{1} t} u_{0}$ | $u(t) \sim t^{-3 / 4} e^{-E_{1} t} u_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| straight | bent $\left(\lambda_{1}<E_{1}\right)$ | twisted |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { [D.K., Zuazua } 2010 \text { (J. Math. Pures Appl.)] } & \text { norm-wise } \\ \text { [Grillo, Kovařík, Pinchover } 2014 \text { (Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.)] } & \text { point-wise }\end{array}$

## Application to the heat equation

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta_{x} u & =0, \\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

| $u(t) \sim t^{-1 / 4} e^{-E_{1} t} u_{0}$ | $u(t) \sim e^{-\lambda_{1} t} u_{0}$ | $u(t) \sim t^{-3 / 4} e^{-E_{1} t} u_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| straight | bent $\left(\lambda_{1}<E_{1}\right)$ | twisted |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

[D.K., Zuazua 2010 (J. Math. Pures Appl.)] norm-wise [Grillo, Kovařík, Pinchover 2014 (Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.)] point-wise twisting $\Longrightarrow$ faster cool down (death of a Brownian particle) in twisted tubes
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2. If $\omega \subset\left\{t_{1}>0\right\}$, then $\sigma_{\text {ess }}\left(-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega}\right)=\varnothing$
(i quasi-bounded realisation!)


Open problem: ¿ Non-standard Weyl-type asymptotics in the case 2 ?

