

Jan P. Boroński







< ロ > (四 ) (四 ) ( 王 ) ( - )

Introduction to minimality





2 Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

3 Minimality vs. LRS

4 Minimality and Cartesian Products



#### Minimal maps and spaces

**G. D. Birkhoff**, *Quelques théorémes sur le mouvement des systèmes dynamiques*, Bulletin de la Socité mathématiques de France, 40 (1912), 305-323:

Given a compact metric space *X*, a map  $f : X \to X$  is called **minimal** if for any closed set  $A \subset X$  such  $f(A) \subseteq A$  we must have A = X or  $A = \emptyset$ .

Equivalently, *f* is said to be **minimal** if the forward orbit  $\{f^n(x) : n = 1, 2, ...\}$  is dense in *X*.

In such a case X is called a **minimal space**.

#### What are minimal spaces?

- Any dynamical system on a compact space contains minimal subsystems
- Minimal sets/systems are *building blocks* for more complicated ones
- Periodic orbits are among the simplest examples
- Whether a given space admits a minimal map is still unknown for large classes of spaces
- For *n-manifolds* the question is fully answered only for *n* < 3
- In higher dimensions mainly isolated examples are known
- If X is minimal, and D is its decomposition into connected components then the quotient space X/D must be the Cantor set, or a finite set.

#### The Cantor set



**Note:** Every compact metric space is a continuous image of the Cantor set.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

ъ

-Introduction to minimality

#### **Toy Models**

#### Example

(Irrational rotations) Let  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the unit circle and  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Then the irrational rotation

$$f(x) = x + \alpha$$

is minimal.

#### Example

**(Denjoy homeomorphisms)** The irrational rotation *f* can be modified by a "blow-up" of an orbit to form a minimal Cantor set homeomorphism.

(日)

#### **Denjoy homeomorphisms**

The process of inserting the intervals  $I_n$  into  $S^i(1)$  to obtain a new circle  $S^i(1 + a)$  is expressed formally by a continuous map  $g: S^i(1 + a) \rightarrow S^i(1)$  which collapses each interval  $I_n \subset S^i(1 + a)$  to the corresponding point  $x_n \in S^i(1)$  and is one-to-one outside  $I_*$ . We choose  $a_n = \text{length}(I_n) > 0$  to satisfy

$$(1) a = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n < \infty$$

so that the disjoint intervals  $I_n$  will all fit into  $S^1(1 + a)$  and

$$\lim_{n\to\pm\infty}a_{n+1}/a_n=1$$

so that |f can be  $C^1$ . For example,  $a_n = (1 + n^s)^{-1}$  suffices. Then the map g is induced by the continuous map  $g_i$ :  $[0, 1 + a] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined (see Figure 4)

(3) 
$$g_1(y) = \limsup\{x_n \mid x_n + \sum_{x_k < x_n} a_k < y\}.$$



#### 2-adic odometer

#### Example

Define a Cantor set homeomorphism  $\sigma : \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by "add one and carry":  $\sigma(s) = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, s_{k+1}, s_{k+2}, \ldots)$ , where  $s_k = 0$  and  $s_i = 1$  for all i < k and  $\sigma(1, 1, 1, \ldots) = (0, 0, 0, \ldots)$ . The homeomorphism  $\sigma$  is minimal.



#### Suspensions

Let  $h: C \to C$  be a minimal homeomorphism of a compact metric space *C*. The **suspension** of (C, h) is the space  $X = C \times \mathbb{R}/_{\sim}$ , where ~ is the equivalence relation given by:  $(x, y) \sim (p, q)$  if  $y - q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p = h^{-y+q}(x)$ .



#### **Suspension flows**

The **suspension flow** defined by *h* is the continuous flow induced on *X* given by  $\phi_t(x, s) = (x, s + t)/_{\sim}$ . Since the orbits of *h* are dense, the flow orbits are dense in *X*, and so *X* is a continuum. For a generic choice of parameters *t* the flow  $\phi_t$  is minimal.





#### Example

When *h* is the 2-adic odometer then the suspension is the 2-adic solenoid.





Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem





### 2 Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

#### 3 Minimality vs. LRS

4 Minimality and Cartesian Products



Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

#### Contractions

 A map f is a contraction if there exists an L < 1 such that d(f(x), f(y)) ≤ Ld(x, y) for all x, y ∈ X;

#### Banach fixed point theorem (1922)

Every contraction on a complete metric space has a unique fixed point.



- Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

#### Local contractions

• A map *f* is a **local contraction** if for every  $x \in X$  there exists an  $L_x < 1$  and  $q_x > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) < q_x$  and  $d(x, z) < q_x$  implies  $d(f(y), f(z)) \le L_x d(y, z)$ ;

#### Edelstein (1961)

For every local contraction f on a compact metric space X there exists an integer n such that  $f^n$  has a fixed point.



- Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

#### Weak local contractions

- A map *f* is a weak local contraction if for every *x* ∈ *X* there exists an *r<sub>x</sub>* > 0 such that *d*(*x*, *y*) < *r<sub>x</sub>* implies *d*(*f*(*x*), *f*(*y*)) ≤ *d*(*x*, *y*);
- A map *f* is a **local isometry** if for every  $x \in X$  there exists an  $R_x > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) < R_x$  implies d(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y).

#### Edrei's conjecture (1952)

Suppose X is a compact metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is a surjective weak local contraction. Then f is a local isometry.

-Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

#### Edrei's conjecture (1952)

Suppose X is a compact metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is a weak local contraction. Then f is a local isometry.

#### Williams (1954)

4 examples constructed for which

- every point is a weak local contraction point,
- the map is not a local isometry at some points.



- Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

#### Definition

A map  $f : X \to X$  is locally radially shrinking (LRS) if for every  $x \in X$ there exists an  $\epsilon_x > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) < \epsilon_x$  implies d(f(x), f(y)) < d(x, y) for all  $y \neq x$ .

Note: If a differentiable function *f* has LRS then f'(x) < 1 for every  $x \in X$ .

#### [Ciesielski, Jasinski, 2016]

There exists a minimal Cantor set homeomorphism  $\mathfrak{f}$  that embeds in the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with **vanishing derivative everywhere**. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{f}$  extends to a differentiable function  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Note: The map  $\mathfrak{f}$  above is the 2-adic odometer.



Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem



Picture by Ciesielski&Jasiński, Canadian J. Math. (2017)



Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem



Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

#### [Ciesielski, Jasinski, 2016]

If X is an infinite compact metric space and a surjective  $f : X \to X$  has the (LRS) property then there exists a perfect subset Y such that f|Y is minimal.

#### Question

What Cantor set homeomorphisms can be embedded into  ${\mathbb R}$  with vanishing derivative everywhere?



- Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

#### [Ciesielski, Jasinski, 2016]

If X is an infinite compact metric space and a surjective  $f : X \to X$  has the (LRS) property then there exists a perfect subset Y such that f|Y is minimal.

#### Question

What Cantor set homeomorphisms can be embedded into  $\mathbb{R}$  with vanishing derivative everywhere?



Minimality vs. LRS





#### 2 Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

3 Minimality vs. LRS

4 Minimality and Cartesian Products



Minimality vs. LRS



#### Piotr Oprocha, Kraków&Ostrava



Jiří Kupka, Ostrava

BORONSKI J.P.; KUPKA J.; OPROCHA P., *Edrei's Conjecture revisited*, Annales Henri Poincaré 19 (2018) 267–281



Minimality vs. LRS

Let  $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a nondecreasing sequence of positive integers such that  $s_n$  divides  $s_{n+1}$ . For each  $n \ge 1$  define  $\pi_n: \mathbb{Z}_{s_{n+1}} \to \mathbb{Z}_{s_n}$  by the natural formula  $\pi_n(m) = m \pmod{s_n}$  and let  $G_{\mathbf{s}}$  denote the following inverse limit

$$G_{\mathbf{s}} = \varprojlim_{n} (\mathbb{Z}_{s_{n}}, \pi_{n}) = \Big\{ x \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{s_{n}} : x_{n} = \pi_{n}(x_{n+1}) \Big\},$$

where each  $\mathbb{Z}_{s_n}$  is given the discrete topology, and on  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{s_n}$  we have the Tychonoff product topology. On  $G_s$  we define  $T_s: G_s \to G_s$  by

$$T_{\mathbf{s}}(x)_n = x_n + 1 \pmod{s_n}.$$

Then  $G_s$  is a compact metrizable space and  $T_s$  is a homeomorphism, therefore  $(G_s, T_s)$  is a dynamical system (odometer).

Minimality vs. LRS

## All odometers are **equicontinuous**; i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x, y) < \delta$ then $d(T^n(x), T^n(y)) < \varepsilon$ for every $n \ge 0$ .



Minimality vs. LRS

#### Theorem (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

All odometers can be embedded into  $\mathbb R$  with derivative equal to 0 everywhere.



-Minimality vs. LRS

We obtain the following immediate corollaries.

#### Lemma (Jarnik Theorem)

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a perfect set and let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable. Then there exists a differentiable extension  $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of f, that is  $F|_X = f$ .

#### Theorem (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

Every odometer is conjugate to a homeomorphism  $f: C \to C$  such that  $f' \equiv 0$  and f extends to a differentiable surjection  $\overline{f}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Corollary (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

For every odometer (X, T) there exists an equivalent metric  $\rho$  such that T has (LRS) property with respect to  $\rho$ .



Minimality vs. LRS

#### Corollary (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

There exists a Cantor set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  and a homeomorphism F such that F has the (LRS) property,  $C = \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$  where I is uncountable,  $M_i \cap M_i = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $(M_i, F)$  if minimal for every i.



Minimality vs. LRS

#### Theorem (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

There exists a minimal weakly mixing Cantor set homeomorphism  $T: X \rightarrow X$  that embeds in  $\mathbb{R}$  with vanishing derivative everywhere.



Minimality vs. LRS

#### Theorem (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

There exists a transitive, nonminimal and periodic point free Cantor set homeomorphism that embeds in  $\mathbb{R}$  with vanishing derivative everywhere.



-Minimality vs. LRS

#### Theorem (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

Every minimal dynamical system (X, T) with (LRS) property can be extended to a non-transitive dynamical system (Z, F) with (LRS) property.

#### Theorem (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

There exists a Cantor set  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  and a nontransitive homeomorphism G with the (LRS) property such that the set of periodic points of G consists of a single fixed point.



-Minimality vs. LRS

#### Theorem (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

Every minimal dynamical system (X, T) with (LRS) property can be extended to a non-transitive dynamical system (Z, F) with (LRS) property.

#### Theorem (B.,Kupka,Oprocha)

There exists a Cantor set  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  and a nontransitive homeomorphism G with the (LRS) property such that the set of periodic points of G consists of a single fixed point.

(日)

Minimality vs. LRS

#### Question

Can every minimal Cantor set homeomorphism be embedded into  $\mathbb{R}$  with vanishing derivative everywhere?



Minimality and Cartesian Products





2 Minimal systems meet Banach fixed point theorem

3 Minimality vs. LRS





Minimality and Cartesian Products



#### Piotr Oprocha, Kraków&Ostrava



Alex Clark, University of Leicester



<ロ> <四> <四> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三

Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### Is minimality with respect to homeomorphisms preserved under Cartesian product in the class of compact spaces?



Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### Observation

No product of a homeomorphism  $h: X \to X$  with itself

$$(h,h): X \times X \to X \times X$$

is minimal, by the fact that it keeps the diagonal

$$\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < < つ へ ○</li>

invariant.

Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### **Toy Models**

If X is a Cantor set then X × X is a Cantor set as well, so minimality is preserved.

2 If 
$$X = \mathbb{S}^1$$
 then  $X \times X = \mathbb{T}^2$  and

$$H(x,y)=(x+\alpha,y+\beta)$$

として 小山 マイドマ 小山 マイロマ

is minimal if and only if  $1, \alpha, \beta$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -independent.

Here we shall show that a **Cartesian power of a minimal spaces need not be minimal**.

Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### Theorem

(B.,Clark,Oprocha)There exists a compact connected metric minimal space Y such that  $Y \times Y$  is not minimal.



Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### Almost Slovak space

A compact space X is an **almost Slovak space** if its homeomorphism group

$$\mathrm{H}(X) = \mathrm{H}_+(X) \cup \mathrm{H}_-(X),$$

with

$$\mathrm{H}_+(X)\cap\mathrm{H}_-(X)=\{\mathsf{id}_X\},$$

where  $H_+(X)$  is cyclic and generated by a minimal homeomorphism, and for every  $g \in H_-(X)$  we have  $g^2 \in H_+(X)$ .



Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### The Pseudo-circle

The *pseudo-arc P* is defined as the unique *arc-like* continuum that is *hereditarily indecomposable*.

- *P* is *arc-like* means that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a map  $f_{\epsilon} : P \to [0, 1]$  with  $diam(f^{-1}(t)) < \epsilon$  for every *t*; alternatively,  $P = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \{[0, 1], f_i\}$
- *P* is *indecomposable* means that it *does not decompose* into the union of *two proper subcontinua*
- *P* is *hereditarily indecomposable* means that all subcontinua of *P* are indecomposable

The *pseudo-circle* is defined as the unique *circle-like*, *hereditarily indecomposable* and **plane separating** continuum.

- Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### The Pseudo-arc

The pseudo-arc P may be considered as a very **bad fractal**, as it is hereditarily equivalent, and so it has a self-similarity feature. **Hereditary equivalence** means that every subcontinuum of P is homeomorphic to P.



# Note: No indecomposable continuum is a continuous image of [0,1]!



#### Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### Pseudo-arcs and Pseudo-circles in Dynamics (select results)

- (1982) Handel: pseudo-circle as an attracting minimal set of a C<sup>∞</sup>-smooth diffeomorphism of the plane
- (1996) Kennedy&Yorke: constructed a  $C^{\infty}$  diffeomorphism on a 7-manifold which has an invariant set with an uncontable number of pseudocircle components and is stable to  $C^1$  perturbations
- (2010) Chéritat: pseudo-circle as a boundary of a Siegel disk of a holomorphic map
- (2014) B.&Oprocha: pseudo-circle as a Birkhoff-type attractor on the 2-torus
- (2016) Rempe-Gillen: pseudo-arc as a compactification Julia set component of entire functions of disjoint type

- Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### Outline of the proof:

**Theorem.** (B., Clark, Oprocha) There exists a compact connected metric minimal space Y such that  $Y \times Y$  is not minimal.

Start with a minimal suspension flow homeomorphism.



Perform a "surgery" inserting obstacles in place of one of the orbits.

The resulting space will have an almost cyclic homeomorphism group and will be factorwise rigid.



#### Minimality and Cartesian Products





ヘロン 人間 とくほど 人ほど 一日

Minimality and Cartesian Products



### Thank You for Your Attention



Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### Graphs

By a *graph* we mean a pair G = (V, E) of finite sets, where  $E \subset V \times V$  (V ... set of *vertices*, E ... set of *edges*).

The graphs we consider are always *edge surjective*, i.e. for every  $v \in V$  there are  $u, w \in V$  such that  $(u, v), (v, w) \in E$ .

#### Graph homomorphisms

A map  $\phi: V_1 \to V_2$  is a *graph homomorphism* between graphs  $(V_1, E_1), (V_2, E_2)$  if for every  $(u, v) \in E_1$  we have  $(\phi(u), \phi(v)) \in E_2$ . A homomorphism  $\phi$  is *bidirectional* if  $(u, v), (u, v') \in E_1$  implies  $\phi(v) = \phi(v')$  and  $(w, u), (w', u) \in E_1$  implies  $\phi(w) = \phi(w')$ .



- Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### **bd-covers**

If  $\phi$  is a bidirectional map between edge-surjective graps then we call it bd-cover.

#### Construction [Akin, Glasner, Weiss, 2008]

Let  $\mathcal{G} = \langle \phi_i \rangle_{i=0}^{\infty}$  denote a sequence of bd-covers  $\phi_i: (V_{i+1}, E_{i+1}) \rightarrow (V_i, E_i)$ , and let

$$V_{\mathcal{G}} = \varprojlim (V_i, \phi_i) = \{ x \in \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i : \phi_i(x_{i+1}) = x_i \text{ for all } i \ge 0 \}$$

be the inverse limit defined by  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Set

$$E_{\mathcal{G}} = \{ e \in V_{\mathcal{G}} \times V_{\mathcal{G}} : e_i \in E_i \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \dots \}$$

As usual,  $V_i$  is endowed with discrete topology and  $\mathbb{X} = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i$  is endowed with product topology.

IRAFM

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

Minimality and Cartesian Products

#### Lemma (Shimomura, 2016)

Let  $\mathcal{G} = \langle \phi_i \rangle$  be a sequence of bd-covers  $\phi_i: (V_{i+1}, E_{i+1}) \rightarrow (V_i, E_i)$ . Then  $V_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a zero-dimensional compact metric space and the relation  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  defines a homeomorphism.

