Non-Weyl Quantum and Microwave Graphs

Jiří Lipovský

University of Hradec Králové, Faculty of Science jiri.lipovsky@uhk.cz

joint work with E.B. Davies, P. Exner, M. Ławniczak, L. Sirko

Ostrava, May 15th, 2019



Description of the Model

- configuration space is a graph Γ described by the set of vertices ${\cal V}$ and the set of internal edges ${\cal L}$ and halflines ${\cal L}_\infty$
- corresponding Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{(j,n)\in I_{\mathcal{L}}} L^2([0, I_{jn}]) \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in I_{\mathcal{C}}} L^2([0,\infty)).$$

• elements of Hilbert space



Coupling Conditions

- second order differential operator H = -d²/dx² + V(x) corresponds to the Hamiltonian of a quantum particle (using ħ = 1, m = 1/2)
- domain of the Hamiltonian: functions in corresponding Sobolev space $f_{jn} \in W^{2,2}([0, l_{jn}]), f_{j\infty} \in W^{2,2}([0, \infty))$, which fulfil the coupling conditions at the vertices
- for each vertex \mathcal{X}_j one denotes the vector of functional values

$$\Psi_j = (f_1(\mathcal{X}_j), f_2(\mathcal{X}_j), \dots, f_{d_j}(\mathcal{X}_j))^T, \quad d_j = \operatorname{card} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}_j)$$

vector of outgoing derivatives

$$\Psi_j' = (f_1'(\mathcal{X}_j), f_2'(\mathcal{X}_j), \dots, f_{d_j}'(\mathcal{X}_j))^{\mathcal{T}}, \quad d_j = \operatorname{card} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}_j) \,.$$

• all possible couplings can be written as

$$(U_j-I)\Psi_j+i(U_j+I)\Psi_j'=0\,,$$

where U_j 's are square $d_j \times d_j$ unitary matrices

 alternative description of the coupling conditions using d_j × d_j matrices A_j, B_j

$$A_j\Psi_j+B_j\Psi_j'=0\,,$$

where $d_j \times 2 d_j$ rectangular matrix (A_j, B_j) has maximal rank and matrix $A_j B_i^*$ is self-adjoint for each vertex

• relationship between A, B and U

$$A = C(U - I), \quad B = iC(U + I)$$
$$U = -(A - iB)^{-1}(A + iB)$$

Flower-like Model

- graph with finitely many internal edges can be described by a model with only one vertex (Kuchment, 2008)
- ullet the actual topology of the graph is encoded in the matrix U



 coupling is described by a (2N + M) × (2N + M) (N = card L and M = card L_∞) unitary block diagonal matrix U consisting of blocks U_j as

$$(U-I)\Psi+i(U+I)\Psi'=0$$

Example of the Coupling: Standard Coupling Conditions

- also known as Kirchhoff coupling conditions, coupling conditions, sometimes even called Neumann
- continuity of the functional value and sum of outward derivatives disappears

$$\begin{array}{lll} f_j := f_{jn}(j) &=& f_{jm}(j) \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \nu(j) \,, \\ \sum_{n \in \nu(j)} f_{jn}'(j) &=& 0. \end{array}$$

• corresponding coupling matrices

$$U_j=\frac{2}{d_j}J-I\,,$$

where I is unit matrix and J has all entries equal to one

Resolvent Resonances

- poles of meromorphic continuation of $(H \lambda \operatorname{id})^{-1}$
- another definition: $\lambda = k^2$ is a resolvent resonance if there exists a generalized eigenfunction $f \in L^2_{loc}(\Gamma)$, $f \neq 0$ satisfying $-f''(x) = k^2 f(x)$ on all edges of the graph and fulfilling the coupling conditions, which on all external edges behaves as $c_j e^{ikx}$.
- external complex scaling: transformation $g_j(x) \rightarrow U_{\theta}g_j(x) = e^{\theta/2}g_j(xe^{\theta})$ with an imaginary θ
- non-selfadjoint operator H_{θ} with the domain $f_{jn} \in W^{2,2}([0, I_{jn}])$ and $g_{j\theta} = U_{\theta}g_j$ with $g_j \in W^{2,2}(\mathcal{L}_{j\infty})$

$$H_{\theta} \begin{pmatrix} \{g_j\} \\ \{f_{jn}\} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \{-e^{-2\theta}g_j''\} \\ \{-f_{jn}'' + V_{jn}f_{jn}\} \end{pmatrix}$$



• resonances – eigenvalues of H_{θ}

- parametrizing all external edges by $x \in [0,\infty)$
- solutions on the external edges: superposition of incoming and outgoing waves $g_j(x) = c_j e^{-ikx} + d_j e^{ikx}$
- motivation for incoming and outgoing waves: time-dependent Schrödinger equation $(-\partial_x^2 - i\partial_t)u_j(x, t) = 0$
- separating variables we can write: $u_j(x, t) = e^{-itk^2}g_j(x)$, where $g_j(x)$ solves time-independent Schrödinger equation
- hence $u_j(x) = c_j e^{-ik(x+kt)} + d_j e^{ik(x-kt)}$
- S = S(k) which maps the vector of amplitudes of the incoming waves c = {c_n} into the vector of the amplitudes of the outgoing waves d = {d_n}
- scattering resonances complex energies where S diverges

- parametrizing all external edges by $x \in [0,\infty)$
- solutions on the external edges: superposition of incoming and outgoing waves $g_j(x) = c_j e^{-ikx} + d_j e^{ikx}$
- motivation for incoming and outgoing waves: time-dependent Schrödinger equation $(-\partial_x^2 - i\partial_t)u_j(x, t) = 0$
- separating variables we can write: $u_j(x, t) = e^{-itk^2}g_j(x)$, where $g_j(x)$ solves time-independent Schrödinger equation
- hence $u_j(x) = c_j e^{-ik(x+kt)} + d_j e^{ik(x-kt)}$
- S = S(k) which maps the vector of amplitudes of the incoming waves c = {c_n} into the vector of the amplitudes of the outgoing waves d = {d_n}
- scattering resonances complex energies where S diverges

- parametrizing all external edges by $x \in [0,\infty)$
- solutions on the external edges: superposition of incoming and outgoing waves $g_j(x) = c_j e^{-ikx} + d_j e^{ikx}$
- motivation for incoming and outgoing waves: time-dependent Schrödinger equation $(-\partial_x^2 - i\partial_t)u_j(x, t) = 0$
- separating variables we can write: $u_j(x, t) = e^{-itk^2}g_j(x)$, where $g_j(x)$ solves time-independent Schrödinger equation
- hence $u_j(x) = c_j e^{-ik(x+kt)} + d_j e^{ik(x-kt)}$
- S = S(k) which maps the vector of amplitudes of the incoming waves c = {c_n} into the vector of the amplitudes of the outgoing waves d = {d_n}
- scattering resonances complex energies where S diverges

- parametrizing all external edges by $x \in [0,\infty)$
- solutions on the external edges: superposition of incoming and outgoing waves $g_j(x) = c_j e^{-ikx} + d_j e^{ikx}$
- motivation for incoming and outgoing waves: time-dependent Schrödinger equation $(-\partial_x^2 - i\partial_t)u_j(x, t) = 0$
- separating variables we can write: $u_j(x, t) = e^{-itk^2}g_j(x)$, where $g_j(x)$ solves time-independent Schrödinger equation
- hence $u_j(x) = c_j e^{-ik(x+kt)} + d_j e^{ik(x-kt)}$
- S = S(k) which maps the vector of amplitudes of the incoming waves c = {c_n} into the vector of the amplitudes of the outgoing waves d = {d_n}
- scattering resonances complex energies where S diverges

Equivalence of Resonances

Theorem (Exner, J. L.)

k with k^2 not being eigenvalue of H is a resolvent resonance if and only if it is a scattering resonance.

- there can be eigenvalues with eigenfunctions supported on the compact part of the grap which "cannot be seen by a scattering matrix"
- idea of the proof: condition of solvability of set of equation for resolvent resonances and condition when the system for S-matrix is not solvable are equivalent
- S(-k) = S(k)⁻¹ ensures that k with k² ∉ ℝ is not at once zero of the denominator of the S-matrix and zero of its nominator

Effective Coupling on the Finite Graph

• graph with semi-infinite edges with coupling given by matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \\ U_3 & U_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

where U_1 is the $2N \times 2N$ square matrix referring to the compact subgraph, U_4 is the $M \times M$ square matrix related to the exterior part, and U_2 and U_3 are rectangular matrices

- idea: replace coupling at the vertex with semi-infinite edges by an effective coupling eliminating the external variables
- after performing external complex scaling we obtain equations for an effective coupling matrix:

$$\tilde{U}(k) = U_1 - (1-k)U_2[(1-k)U_4 - (k+1)I]^{-1}U_3$$

• $\tilde{U}(k)$ is energy-dependent

Weyl's law for Asymptotics of Eigenvalues

• assume a Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold; the number of eigenvalues with the absolute value smaller than Q in the energy plane is

$$N(Q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \omega_n V Q^{n/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(Q^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)$$

• for one dimension:

$$N(Q) = \frac{1}{\pi} V Q^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

- for our purposes is better to study the situation in the k-plane $(E = k^2)$
- every eigenvalue is counted twice

$$N(R) = rac{2}{\pi}VR + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

Asymptotics of Resonances

- asymptotical behaviour of the number of resonances (including the eigenvalues) in the circle of radius R for $R \to \infty$
- Weyl's law

$$N(R)=\frac{2V}{\pi}R+\mathcal{O}(1)\,,$$

where V is the size of the graph $V := \sum_{j=1}^N l_j$

• simple example of a non-Weyl graph: standard condition on the line



Asymtotics for Standard Conditions

Theorem (Davies, Pushnitski)

Suppose that Γ is the graph with standard (Kirchhoff) coupling conditions at all the vertices. Then

$$N(R)=rac{2}{\pi}WR+O(1)\,, \quad ext{as } R o\infty,$$

where the coefficient W satisfies $0 \le W \le vol(\Gamma)$. The behaviour is non-Weyl ($0 \le W < vol(\Gamma)$) iff there is a balanced vertex.

• balanced vertex: number of internal edges is equal to the number of external edges

Asymtotics for Standard Conditions



- idea of the proof: resonances are given by zeros of exponential polynomials $F(k) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} a_r e^{i\sigma_r k}$
- zeros of such functions are situated in the strips parallel to the real axis and their number is proportional to the difference of the imaginary parts of the exponents
- the graph has Weyl asymptotics iff coefficients of terms $\mathrm{e}^{\pm i V k}$ are nontrivial

Asymptotics for General Conditions

Theorem (Davies, Exner, J.L.) Graph is non-Weyl iff the effective coupling matrix $\tilde{U}(k) = U_1 - (1-k)U_2[(1-k)U_4 - (k+1)I]^{-1}U_3$ has eigenvalue $\frac{1+k}{1-k}$ or $\frac{1-k}{1+k}$.

- the idea of the proof: determining whether the coefficient by $\mathrm{e}^{\pm ikV}$ is zero
- permutation-symmetric coupling: U = aJ + bI, with $|b_j| = 1$ and $|b_j + a_j \deg \mathcal{X}_j| = 1$
- the graph with permutation-symmetric coupling is non-Weyl only with standard or "antiKirchhoff" conditions and at least one vertex balanced

Microwave Graphs

- quantum graphs can be simulated by microwave graphs
- the same equation: the telegrapher's equation for microwave graphs is formally the same as Schrödinger equation for quantum graphs
- coaxial cables, inner and outer conductor, the space between them filled with teflon of dielectric constant $\varepsilon\approx 2.06$
- \bullet the optical length $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\text{-multiple}$ of the geometrical length
- losses: $k = k_{\rm R} + i\beta\sqrt{k_{\rm R}}$, $k_{\rm R} = \frac{2\pi}{c}f$, f is frequency, $\beta = 0.00762$, c is the speed of light

Experiment



Jiří Lipovský Non-Weyl Graphs 18/23

Why Has the Non-Weyl Graph Smaller Effective Size?

- $\bullet~$ let ℓ_2 be the length of the shortest edge emanating from the balanced vertex 1
- let us introduce a fictitious vertex of the degree two with standard coupling at the edge (1,4) at the distance ℓ_2 from the vertex 1 and denote it by 6
- we denote the wavefunctions on the edges (1,6) and (1,2) by $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$, respectively, with x = 0 at the vertex 1 and the wavefunctions on the leads L_1^{∞} and L_2^{∞} by $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$, again with x = 0 at the vertex 1
- the coupling condition yields

$$u_1(0) = u_2(0) = f_1(0) = f_2(0),$$

$$u'_1(0) + u'_2(0) + f'_1(0) + f'_2(0) = 0.$$
 (1)

• introduce symmetrization and antisymmetrization of the previously defined components of wavefunctions

• from the coupling conditions at the vertex 1 it follows using $u_1(0) = u_2(0)$ and $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{+}(0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u_{1}(0) + u_{2}(0)) = \sqrt{2} u_{1}(0), \\ g_{+}(0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{1}(0) + f_{2}(0)) = \sqrt{2} f_{1}(0), \\ v_{-}(0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u_{1}(0) - u_{2}(0)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u_{1}(0) - u_{1}(0)) = 0, \\ g_{-}(0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{1}(0) - f_{2}(0)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_{1}(0) - f_{1}(0)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$
(3)

• the coupling condition can be in the new functions written (using $u_1(0) = f_1(0)$) as

$$v_{+}(0) = g_{+}(0), \quad v'_{+}(0) + g'_{+}(0) = 0, \quad v_{-}(0) = g_{-}(0) = 0.$$
(4)

- the symmetric subspace (v₊ and g₊): standard condition connecting an internal edge and a lead
- in the antisymmetric subspace: Dirichlet condition
- denote by h the wavefunction component on the rest of the graph
- then the map

$$U: (u_1, u_2, f_1, f_2, h)^{\mathrm{T}} \mapsto (v_+, v_-, g_+, g_-, h)^{\mathrm{T}}$$
 (5)

is unitary and transforms the "old" Hamiltonian H to the "new" Hamiltonian $H_U = UHU^{-1}$

- the graph for the Hamiltonian H_U connects an internal edge of length ℓ_2 with an external lead by the standard condition
- no interaction these two edges may be replaced by one external lead reducing the effective size of the graph by ℓ_2
- a new, more complicated, coupling condition at the real vertex 2 and the fictitious vertex 6 which joins these two vertices; it assures that the effective size is not smaller

Results



Jiří Lipovský Non-Weyl Graphs 22/23

References

E.B.Davies, A. Pushnitski: Non-Weyl Resonance Asymptotics for Quantum Graphs, Analysis & PDE **4**, no. 5, 729-756 (2011). arXiv:1003.0051.

E.B. Davies, P. Exner, J. Lipovský: Non-Weyl asymptotics for quantum graphs with general coupling conditions *J. Phys.* **A43** (2010), 474013. arXiv: 1004.0856.

M. Ławniczak, J. Lipovský, and L. Sirko: Non-Weyl microwave graphs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 140503 (2019). arXiv: 1904.06905

Thank you for your attention!

References

E.B.Davies, A. Pushnitski: Non-Weyl Resonance Asymptotics for Quantum Graphs, Analysis & PDE **4**, no. 5, 729-756 (2011). arXiv:1003.0051.

E.B. Davies, P. Exner, J. Lipovský: Non-Weyl asymptotics for quantum graphs with general coupling conditions *J. Phys.* **A43** (2010), 474013. arXiv: 1004.0856.

M. Ławniczak, J. Lipovský, and L. Sirko: Non-Weyl microwave graphs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 140503 (2019). arXiv: 1904.06905

Thank you for your attention!