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## Richard P. Feynman (1918-1988)


"One cannot understand... the universality of laws of nature, the relationship of things, without an understanding of mathematics. There is no other way to do it."

## Algebraic geometry



We could describe algebraic geometry as the study of polynomial functions and the spaces on which they are defined, which we call algebraic varieties. It found applications, for example, in number theory (solution of Fermat's last theorem) in mathematics and in quantum gravity and in quantum field theory in theoretical physics (twistor theory, amplituhedron).

## Outline

- plabic graphs
(1) Le-diagrams, decorated permutations
(2) formal boundary measurements
(3) winding index
- amplituhedron
- string theory
- ring paradigm


## Planar directed network

## Definition

A planar directed graph $G$ is a directed graph drawn inside a disk. We will assume that $G$ has finitely many vertices and edges. We allow $G$ to have loops and multiple edges. We assume that $G$ has $n$ boundary vertices on the boundary of the disk labeled $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ clockwise. The remaining vertices, which we call the internal vertices, are located strictly inside the disk. We always assume that each boundary vertex $b_{i}$ is either a source or a sink. Even if $b_{i}$ is an isolated boundary vertex, we will assign $b_{i}$ to be a source or a sink. A planar directed network $N=(G, x)$ is a planar directed graph $G$ as above together with strictly positive real weights $x_{e}>0$ assigned to all edges $e$ of $G$.

Plabic graph


## Boundary measurement

## Definition

For such network $N$, the source set $I \subset[n]$ and the sink set $\bar{I} \equiv[n] \backslash I$ of $N$ are the sets such that $b_{i}, i \in I$, are the sources of $N$ and the $b_{j}, j \in \bar{l}$, are the boundary sinks.

## Definition

If the network $N$ is acyclic, then, for any $i \in I$ and $j \in \bar{I}$ we define the boundary measurement $M_{i j}$ as the finite sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i j} \equiv \sum_{P: b_{i} \rightarrow b_{j}} \prod_{e \in P} x_{e} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all directed paths $P$ in $N$ from the boundary source $b_{i}$ to the boundary sink $b_{j}$, and the product is over all edges $e$ in $P$.

## Winding index

We define the winding index for a path $P$ from a boundary vertex $b_{i}$ to a boundary vertex $b_{j}$ : we assume that all edges of the network are given by smooth curves; thus the path $P$ is given by a continuous piecewise-smooth curve. It is possible to slightly modify the path and smoothen it around each junction, so it is given by a smooth curve $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and furthermore make the initial tangent vector $f^{\prime}(0)$ to have the same direction as the final tangent vector $f^{\prime}(1)$. We could now define the winding index wind $(P) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the path $P$ as the signed number of full $360^{\circ}$ turns that the tangent vector $f^{\prime}(t)$ makes as we go from $b_{i}$ to $b_{j}$. Smiliarly, we define the winding index wind $(C)$ for a closed directed path $C$ in the graph.

Winding index - picture


We will write now a recursive combinatorical procedure for calculation of the winding index for a path $P$ with vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{l}$. In the case that the path $P$ has no self-intersections, then wind $(P)=0$. For a counterclockwise closed path $C$ without self-intersections, we have wind $(C)=1$ (similarly for clockwise wind $(C)=-1$ ).

We will suppose now that $P$ has at least one self-intersection, that is $v_{i}=v_{j}=v$ for $i<j$. Let $C$ be the closed segment of $P$ with the vertices $v_{i}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{j}$, and let $P^{\prime}$ be the path $P$ with erased segment $C, P^{\prime}$ has the vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{l}$. Consider the four edges $e_{1}=\left(v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right), e_{2}=\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)$, $e_{3}=\left(v_{j-1}, v_{j}\right), e_{4}=\left(v_{j}, v_{j+1}\right)$ in the path $P$, which are incident to the vertex $v$. We will define now the number
$\epsilon=\epsilon\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right) \in\{-1,0,1\}$, as follows. If the edges are arranged as $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ clockwise, then set $\epsilon=-1$; otherwise set $\epsilon=0$. In particular, if some of the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ are the same, then $\epsilon=0$.

## Lemma

We have wind $(P)=\operatorname{wind}\left(P^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{wind}(C)+\epsilon$.
wind $(P)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { wind }\left(P^{\prime}\right)+1, \text { if } C \text { is a counterclockwise cycle and } \epsilon=0 \\ \text { wind }\left(P^{\prime}\right)-1, \text { if } C \text { is a clockwise cycle and } \epsilon=0 \\ \text { wind }\left(P^{\prime}\right),\end{array}\right.$

## Essential cycles

If $\epsilon=0$, then we say that $C$ is an essential cycle. Now it is possible to express the winding index in terms of the erased cycles as wind $(P) \equiv \#\{$ counterclockwise essential cycles\} \#\{clockwise essential cycles\}.

Let $N$ be a planar directed network with graph $G$ as above (it is allowed that it contains cycles). We will assume for a moment that the weights $x_{e}$ of edges in $N$ are formal variables. For a path $P$ in $G$ with the edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{l}$, we will write $x_{P} \equiv x_{e_{1}} \ldots x_{e_{l}}$. For a source $b_{i}, i \in I$, and $\operatorname{sink} b_{j}, j \in \bar{l}$, we define the formal boundary measurement $M_{i j}^{\text {form }}$ as the formal power series in the $x_{e}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i j}^{f o r m} \equiv \sum_{P: b_{i} \rightarrow b_{j}}(-1)^{\text {wind }(P)} x_{P} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we take the sum over all directed paths $P$ in $N$ from $b_{i}$ to $b_{j}$.

## Subtraction-free rational expression

Recall that a substraction-free rational expression is an expression with positive integer coefficients that can be written with the operations of addition, multiplication, and division (but subtraction is strictly forbidden). We could equivalently write that it is an expression that can be written as a quotient of two polynomials with positive coefficients. For example,

$$
\frac{x+\frac{y^{2}}{x}}{z^{2}+25 y /(x+t)}=\frac{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)(x+t)}{x z^{2}(x+t)+25 x y}
$$

is subtraction-free.

## Boundary measurement

It is possible to define the boundary measurement $M_{i j}$ as the specializations of the formal boundary measurements $M_{i j}^{\text {form }}$, written as subtraction-free expressions, when we assign the $x_{e}$ to be the positive real weights of edges $e$ in the network $N$. Therefore the boundary measurements $M_{i j}$ are well-defined non-negative real numbers for an arbitrary network.


## Inverse boundary problem

- What information about planar directed network could be recovered from the collection of boundary measurements $M_{i j}$ ?
- How can be this information recovered?
- Describe all possible collections of boundary measurements.
- Describe transformation of networks that preserve the boundary measurement.


## Gauge transformations

We describe the gauge transformations of the weights $x_{e}$. Let us pick a collection of positive real numbers $t_{v}>0$, for each internal vertex in $N$; and also assume that $t_{b_{i}}=1$ for each boundary vertex $b_{i}$. Let $N^{\prime}$ be the network with the same directed graph as the network $N$ and with the weights

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{e}^{\prime}=x_{e} t_{u} t_{v}^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each directed edge $e=(u, v)$. Explained in other words, for each internal vertex $v$ we multiply by $t_{v}$ the weights of all edges outgoing from $v$, divide by $t_{v}$ the weights of all edges incoming to $v$. Then the network $N^{\prime}$ has the same boundary measurements as the network $N$.

## Boundary measurement map

We will now describe the set of all possible collections of boundary measurements. For a network $N$ with $k$ boundary sources $b_{i}, i \in I$, and $n-k$ boundary sinks $b_{j}, j \in \bar{I}$, it will be convenient to encode the $k(n-k)$ boundary measurements $M_{i j}, i \in I, j \in \bar{I}$, as a certain point in the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}_{k n}$. We recall that $\Delta_{J}(A)$ is the maximal minor of a matrix $A$ in the column set $J$. The collection of all $\Delta_{J}$, for $k$-subsets $J \subset[n]$, form projective Plücker coordinates on $G r_{k n}$.

## Definition

Let $N e t_{k n}$ be the set of planar directed networks with $k$ boundary sources and $n-k$ boundary sinks. We define the boundary measurement map

$$
\text { Meas : } \text { Net }_{k n} \rightarrow G r_{k n},
$$

as follows. For a network $N \in N e t_{k n}$ with the source set $I$ and with the boundary measurement $M_{i j}$, the point $\operatorname{Meas}(N) \in G r_{k n}$ is given in terms of its Plücker coordinates $\left\{\Delta_{J}\right\}$ by the conditions that $\Delta_{l} \neq 0$ and

$$
M_{i j}=\Delta_{(\Lambda\{i\}) \cup\{j\}} / \Delta_{I} \text { for any } i \in I \text { and } j \in \bar{I}
$$

More explicitely, if $I=\left\{i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k}\right\}$, then the point $\operatorname{Meas}(N) \in G r_{k n}$ is represented by the boundary measurement matrix $A(N)=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M a t_{k n}$ such that
(1) The submatrix $A(N)_{l}$ in the column set $l$ is the identity matrix $I d_{k}$.
(2) The remaining entries of $A(N)$ are $a_{r j}=(-1)^{s} M_{i_{r}, j}$ for $r \in[k]$ and $j \in \bar{I}$, where $s$ is the number of elements of $I$ strictly between $i_{r}$ and $j$.

## Network with four boundary vertices - example



$$
A(N)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & M_{12} & 0 & -M_{14} \\
0 & M_{32} & 1 & M_{34}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Main theorem

## Theorem

The image of the boundary measurement map Meas is exactly the totally nonnegative Grassmannian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Meas}\left(\operatorname{Net}_{k n}\right)=G r_{k n}^{t n n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Gr}_{k n}^{t n n}=\mathrm{Mat}_{k n}^{t n n} / G L_{k}^{+}$and Mat $t_{k n}^{t n n}$ is the set of real $k \times n$-matrices $A$ of rank $k$ with nonnegative maximal minors $\Delta_{l}(A) \geq 0$ and $G L_{k}^{+}$is the group of $k \times k$-matrices with positive determinant.

## Decorated permutation

A decorated permutation of the set $[n]$ is a bijection $\pi:[n] \rightarrow[n]$ whose fixed points are colored either black or white. We denote a black fixed point by $\pi(i)=\underline{i}$ and white fixed point by $\pi(i)=\bar{i}$. An anti-excendance of the decorated permutation $\pi$ is an element $i \in[n]$ such that either $\pi^{-1}(i)>i$ or $\pi(i)=\bar{i}$.

## Le-diagram

Let us define a Le-diagram $D$ of shape $\lambda$ and type $(k, n)$ as a filling of boxes of the Young diagram of shape $\lambda$ contained in a $k \times(n-k)$ rectangle with 0's and +'s such that for any three boxes indexed $\left(i^{\prime}, j\right),\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right),\left(i, j^{\prime}\right)$, where $i<i^{\prime}$ and $j<j^{\prime}$, filled with $a, b, c$, correspondingly, if $a, c \neq 0$ then $b \neq 0$ (Le-property is satisfied).

## Le-diagram

| + | 0 | + | 0 | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | + | + | + | + |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| + | + |  |  |  |



## Bijection between Le-diagrams and decorated permutations

(1) Replace each cross + in the Le-diagram $D$ with an elbow joint, and exchange each 0 with a cross.
(2) Note that the southeast border of $Y_{\lambda}$ gives rise to a length-n path from the northeast corner to the southwest corner of the $k \times(n-k)$ rectangle. Label the edges of this path with the numbers 1 through $n$.
(3) Label the edges of the north and west border of $Y_{\lambda}$ so that opposite horizontal edges and opposite vertical edges have the same label.
(4) View the resulting 'pipe dream' as a permutation $\pi=\pi(D)$ on [ $n$ ], by following the 'pipes' from the southeaster border to the northwest border of the Young diagram. If the pipe originating at label $i$ ends at the label $j$, we define $\pi(i) \equiv j$.
(5) If $\pi(i)=i$ and $i$ labels two horizontal (vertical) edges of $Y_{\lambda}$, then $\pi(i) \equiv \underline{i}(\pi(i) \equiv \bar{i})$.

## Perfect orientation of a plabic graph

A perfect orientation $O$ of a plabic graph $G$ is a choice of orientation of each of its edges such that each black internal vertex $u$ is incident to exactly one edge directed away from $u$, and each white internal vertex $v$ is incident to exactly one edge directed towards $v$. A plabic graph is called perfectly orientable if it admits a perfect orientation.

Let $D$ be a Le-diagram and $\pi$ its decorated permutation. Delete the 0's of $D$ and replace each + with a vertex. From each vertex we construct a hook, which goes east and south, to the border of the Young diagram. The resulting diagram is called the hook diagram $H(D)$. After replacing the edges along the southeast border of the Young diagram with boundary vertices labeled by $1, \ldots, n$, we obtain a planar graph in a disk, with $n$ boundary vertices and one internal vertex for each + of $D$. Then we replace the local region around each internal vertex as in Figure, and add black (respectively ,white) lollipop for each black (white) fixed point of $\pi$. This gives rise to a plabic graph which we call $G(D)$. By orienting the edges of $G(D)$ down and to the left, we obtain a perfect orientation.

## Hook diagram



## Perturbative description of Nature in QFT -Feynman diagrams



## Amplituhedron

- perturbation theory $\rightarrow$ locality and unitarity as manifest as possible
- toy model in supersymmetric QFT $\rightarrow$ locality and unitarity do not play a central role, but emerge as derived features from a different starting point


## Amplituhedron - definition

Let $Z$ be a $(k+m) \times n$ real matrix whose maximal minors are all positive, where $m \geq 0$ is fixed with $k+m \leq n$. Then it induces a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}: G r_{k, n}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow G r_{k, k+m} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}\left(\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\rangle\right) \equiv\left\langle Z\left(v_{1}\right), Z\left(v_{2}\right), \ldots, Z\left(v_{k}\right)\right\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\rangle$ is an element of $G r_{k, n}^{\geq 0}$ written as the span of $k$ basis vectors. The (tree) amplituhedron $A_{n, k, m}(Z)$ is defined to be the image $\tilde{Z}\left(G r_{k, n}^{\geq 0}\right)$ inside $G r_{k, k+, m}$.

## String theory and algebraic geometry



An $N$-dimensional Calabi-Yau variety is an $N$-dimensional Kähler manifold with (holomorphically, rather than just topologically) trivial canonical bundle. This is equivalent to saying that it is real Riemannian manifold of even dimension 2 N which has special holonomy in the subgroup.

## Ring Paradigm

The usual picture about the gravitational interaction was that some quantum (graviton) is exchanging between every particle in the Universe. We suggest a different scheme in RP.


Graviton


We substitute the picture of the gravitons carrying the initial impulse by the creation of a gravitational ring, which tightens the objects in Planck time.



Symmetries

## Unchanged particle sector

The elementary particles of the standard model could move only around gravitational rings.


## Variational principle

The ring has the shortest length from all possible configurations in space, which means a variational principle must be applied in the derivation of the field equations of RP.


## Processes with rings





## Graviton as a phonon

The creation of rings in Planck time effectively gives rise to springs between the galaxies. We quantize their longitudinal vibrations and obtain the graviton-phonons, which mediate the Newtonian force.


$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2 m} P_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} V_{i j} Q_{i} Q_{j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} k+\frac{1}{2} k_{3} & -\frac{1}{2} k_{3} \\
-\frac{1}{2} k_{3} & \frac{1}{2} k+\frac{1}{2} k_{3}
\end{array}\right),
$$

$k, k_{3}>0$.

## Accelerated expansion of the Universe

The classical description is that the gravitational rings are effectively made from some material, which has an inner dependence on the deformation due to the stress. The "gravitational"material breaks at Mpc distances, which causes accelerated expansion in the Universe.


## Modification of gravity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{R} \mathscr{G}_{\mu \nu}+\Lambda_{r} \mathscr{G}_{\mu \nu}=\frac{8 \pi G \mathscr{T}_{\mu \nu}}{c_{g}^{4}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{G}_{\mu \nu}$ is the metric and also all the other quantities have an analogous meaning as in GR. The cosmological constant $\Lambda_{r}$ could be computed from QFT. We neglect the RHS with respect to the LHS, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{R} \mathscr{G}_{\mu \nu}+\Lambda_{r} \mathscr{G}_{\mu \nu}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu \nu}=\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{4}} T_{\mu \nu} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A new cosmological constant term $\wedge$ appeared approximately 8 billion years after Big Bang due to the QG phenomenon (actually $\Lambda=\Lambda_{b}$ in our previous notation):

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu \nu}+\Lambda g_{\mu \nu}=\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{4}} T_{\mu \nu} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Mathematical problem

We take a finite collection of $P$ rings (simple closed curves) $S^{1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, which do not touch; Give a complete characterization of all non-homeomorphic structures, that can be constructed from this set of rings. Every two rings are linked maximally once, they could not be knotted or twisted (in the case, when we have a differentiable structure). We do not consider any Brunnian type of link (Whitehead link, Borromean rings, etc.) and we study only a connected component.

## Identification with graphs

Every ring of the crystal could be identified with a vertex, and we put an edge on the graph if the corresponding rings would be Hopf-linked.


We defined RP on the crystal made of rings, which can be identified with the plabic graphs.


## Application of the paradigm

(1) singularity theorems
(2) cyclic universes
(3) black hole information paradox
( ( dimensional reduction
(0) curvature of the universe
( - EPR-paradox
(O) determinism of physical theories


RP is a highly non-local theory, and the rings are sticking out of the horizon for any black hole. It means that the information could travel at superluminal speed from the interior of the black hole. This gives us a full solution of the information paradox on the non-perturbative QG level.



RP is built on the postulate that the elementary particles move only at the pre-prepared lanes. This could have serious consequences for the determinism of physical theories.


The gravitational rings are mediating gravity by a velocity $c_{g}>c$. It is equal to the maximal allowed velocity, how information can actually be transmitted according to QG.


## Generalization of transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\prime}=\frac{t-\frac{x v^{2}}{v} \epsilon-\frac{x v^{2}}{v}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}} \epsilon-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}, \\
& x^{\prime}=\frac{x-t v}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}} \epsilon-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon=\epsilon(v)$ denotes some step function defined by the prescription

$$
\epsilon(v)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } v \leq c \\ 0 & \text { for } v>c\end{cases}
$$

## Work for future

- scalar field in classical cosmology
- Lorentz violating theories
- conformal field theory in 2 dimension
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## Book Two faces of Johny Newman

- 3 popularization articles: Adventrure of modern cosmology, Adventure of quantum gravity, Are we alone?
- plugged to the story of american theoretical physicist Johny Newman and american pianist Kate Goldberg

Thank You for paying attention! (Some pictures were taken from the web and some were created by myself.) jan.novak@johnynewman.com

