

# Approximability of Lie groups

Pasha Zusmanovich

University of Ostrava

CSASC2016, Barcelona

September 22, 2016

# Zilber's program

Treatment of quantum mechanics (and foundations of physics in general) from the point of view of model theory. The main goal is to (properly) handle infinities in physics.

## Zilber's program

Some highlights:

- ▶ Physics practice (finite computations) dictate that it should be treated in the scope of a first-order, and not higher order, theory.
- ▶ Instead of analytic continuity consider continuity in terms of Zariski topology.
- ▶ Physics should be based on mathematical structures which are categorical (for example,  $\mathbb{C}$  qualifies, while  $\mathbb{R}$  does not).  
Reasons: such structures 1) exhibit homogeneity 2) allow notion of dimension.
- ▶ The physical principle “quantum mechanics applied to ‘large’ structures degenerates to classical mechanics” translates to mathematical principle of “finite approximation”: ‘large’ finite structures are treated as infinite ones having first-order categorical theory.

## Some parallel developments

(All around 2013–2014)

- ▶ Chalons & Ressayre  
Phenomenological approach to quantum mechanics: mathematical objects exist up to an error in their transmission. Technical tool: predicate-like calculus of binary relations.
- ▶ Kapustin, Moldoveanu  
System of axioms, basing on category theory, and involving some Lie-algebraic structures, leading to Quantum Mechanics as the only possible theory. (Sort of) continuity is assumed, appearance of  $\mathbb{C}$  follows from axioms.
- ▶ Kornyak  
“Quantum discrete dynamical systems”. Discretize everything; instead of  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , use cyclotomic fields.

# Approximation according to Zilber

## Definition

A structure  $A$  is approximated by a family of structures  $\{B_i\}$  if there is a surjection  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} B_i$  (ultraproduct)  $\rightarrow A$ .

Compare with other (more traditional?) notions of approximation: *embedding* into direct product or ultraproduct.

## Question

Whether (say)  $SO(3)$  is approximated by finite groups?

**No**, if finite groups are simple (Pillay, 2015).

## From ultraproducts to direct products

### Theorem (Bergman–Nahlus)

For any cardinal  $\kappa > 2$ , and any algebraic system  $A$  consisting of more than one element, the following are equivalent:

- (i) For any surjective homomorphism  $f : \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} B_i \rightarrow A$ ,  $|\mathbb{I}| < \kappa$ , there is  $i_0 \in \mathbb{I}$  such that  $f$  factors through the canonical projection  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} B_i \rightarrow B_{i_0}$ .
- (ii) For any surjective homomorphism  $f : \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} B_i \rightarrow A$ , there is a  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathbb{I}$  such that  $f$  factors through the canonical homomorphism  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} B_i \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{U}} B_i$ .

### Corollary

Zilber's question is equivalent to: whether the *direct product* of finite groups can be mapped surjectively onto  $SO(3)$ ?

## References

B. Zilber, *Perfect infinities and finite approximation*, Infinity and Truth (ed. C. Chong et al.), World Scientific, 2014, 199–223.

P. Zusmanovich, *On the utility of Robinson–Amitsur ultrafilters. I, II*, J. Algebra **388** (2013), 268–286; **466** (2016), 370–377;  
arXiv: 0911.5414, 1508.07496

That's all. Thank you.