

Commutative cohomology in characteristic 2

Pasha Zusmanovich

University of Ostrava

Institute of Mathematics, Prague

November 6, 2019

Commutative Lie algebras

Standing assumption: $p = 2$

$$[x, y] = [y, x]$$

$$[[x, y], z] + [[z, x], y] + [[y, z], x] = 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lie} & \subset & \text{commutative Lie} & \subset & \text{Leibniz} \\ [x, x] = 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

Commutative cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow S^0(L, M) \xrightarrow{d} S^1(L, M) \xrightarrow{d} S^2(L, M) \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

$S^n(L, M)$ = the space of n -linear *symmetric* maps $L \times \dots \times L \rightarrow M$

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \varphi([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i \bullet \varphi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Why bother?

- 1) Operadic viewpoint: algebras should be defined by multilinear identities.
- 2) New phenomena in cohomology, similar to Lie superalgebras.
- 3) New invariant of (ordinary) Lie algebras.
- 4) Appears naturally in the context of classification of simple Lie algebras.

Some spectral sequences

(after Friedrich Wagemann)

- ▶ Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow L \rightarrow L/I \rightarrow 0$$

$$E_2^{pq} = H_{comm}^p(L/I, H_{comm}^q(I, M)) \Rightarrow H_{comm}^{p+q}(L, M)$$

- ▶ Spectral sequences relating commutative cohomology with Chevalley–Eilenberg cohomology and with Leibniz cohomology

Cup product

$$(\varphi \smile \psi)(x_1, \dots, x_{p+q}) = \sum_{\text{shuffles}} \varphi(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}) \cdot \psi(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_q})$$

\smile turns $H_{comm}^*(L, K)$ into an associative graded ring.

Some computations

Adding up similar terms

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \delta_{x_k} F_c(x_1, \underbrace{\hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_{n+1}}_c) + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \delta_{x_k, \epsilon} F_c(x_1, \underbrace{\hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_{n+1}}_c) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1 \\ 1 \leq r < s \leq n+1}} \delta_{x_p} \delta_{x_q, \epsilon} F_a(a, x_1, \underbrace{\hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_r, \hat{x}_{s+1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{n+1}}_c) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1 \\ 1 \leq r < s \leq n+1}} \delta_{x_q} \delta_{x_p, \epsilon} F_a(a, x_1, \underbrace{\hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_s, \hat{x}_{r+1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{n+1}}_c) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1 \\ 1 \leq r < s \leq n+1}} \delta_{x_p} \delta_{x_q, \epsilon} F_a(a, x_1, \underbrace{\hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_r, \hat{x}_{s+1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{n+1}}_c) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1 \\ 1 \leq r < s \leq n+1}} \delta_{x_p} \delta_{x_q, \epsilon} F_a(a, x_1, \underbrace{\hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_p, \hat{x}_{r+1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{n+1}}_c) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1 \\ 1 \leq r < s \leq n+1}} \delta_{x_p} \delta_{x_q, \epsilon} F_a(a, x_1, \underbrace{\hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_r, \hat{x}_{s+1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{n+1}}_c) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p < q \leq n+1 \\ 1 \leq r < s \leq n+1}} \delta_{x_p} \delta_{x_q, \epsilon} F_a(a, x_1, \underbrace{\hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_p, \hat{x}_{s+1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{n+1}}_c) = 0.$$

1) $F(a, a, \dots, a)$ IS NOT CONTAINED IN Ψ .

$$2) F(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) \neq 0 \stackrel{n \mid 1}{\Rightarrow} F_c(a, \dots, a) + F_c(a, \dots, a) + \dots + F_c(a, \dots, a) = 0 \Rightarrow F_c(a, \dots, a) = 0 \text{ if } n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$3) \text{ SIMILARLY ONE CAN OBTAIN } F_c(a, \dots, a) = 0 \text{ if } n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$(1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_c(a, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) \\ \text{if } n+2 = n+1+1 = n+1+1 = 2 \end{array} \right. \quad (2) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_c(a, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) \\ \text{if } n+2 = n+1+1 = n+1+1 = 2 \end{array} \right. \quad (3) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_c(a, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) \\ \text{if } n+2 = n+1+1 = n+1+1 = 2 \end{array} \right.$$

$$(1) : F_c(a, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) + F_c(a, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) + F_c(a, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) = 0$$

$$(2) : F_c(a, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) = 0$$

$$(3) : F_c(a, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) = 0$$

SINCE $F(\pi(x), \dots, \pi(x_n)) = F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \forall \pi \in S_n$

then we can consider the following non-equivalent n-tuples

$$x_{r,p,q} := (\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_r, \underbrace{\epsilon, \dots, \epsilon}_p, \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_q) = (r, p, q)$$

$$x_{r',p',q'} = x_{r,p,q} \text{ iff } r=r', p=p', q=q'$$

Thus we get

$$F_a(r+1, p-1, q-1) + F_c(r, p-2, q) + F_c(r, p, q-1) = 0$$

\Downarrow

$$\Psi(x) = F_a(x)a + F_c(x)b + F_c(x)c$$

IS A COCYCLE.

2-dimensional nonabelian

$$L = \langle a, b \mid [a, b] = a \rangle$$

$$\chi_{pq}(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_r, \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = r \text{ and } q = s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathsf{H}_{comm}^n(L, K) \simeq \langle \chi_{pq} \mid p + q = n, p \text{ even} \rangle$$

$$\chi_{pq} \smile \chi_{rs} = \binom{p+r}{p} \binom{q+s}{q} \chi_{p+r, q+s}$$

Zassenhaus

$$W'_1(n) = \langle e_i = x^{(i+1)}\partial \mid -1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 3 \rangle$$

$$\dim H^2_{comm}(W'_1(n), K) = n$$

Basic cocycles:

$$e_i \vee e_j \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j = 2^k - 2, \text{ or } \{i, j\} = \{-1, 2^{k+1} - 3\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise ,} \end{cases}$$

$$k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Remaining questions

- ▶ Commutative cohomology as a derived functor?
- ▶ Compute commutative cohomology for various “interesting” algebras.
- ▶ An analog of the Hopf formula for the second degree *homology*.
- ▶ Define the cup-product in the “standard” way.
- ▶ Algebras of cohomological dimension 1?
- ▶ Euler-Poincaré characteristic?
- ▶ Whether the variety of commutative Lie algebras is Schreier?
- ▶ ...

References:

- ▶ V. Lopatkin and P. Zusmanovich, arXiv:1907.03690
- ▶ F. Wagemann, arXiv:1908.06764

That's all. Thank you.