# **ORDERED ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES SYNOPSIS OF A COURSE AT OU, WINTER SEMESTERS 2017/2018 AND 2018/2019**

## PASHA ZUSMANOVICH

## <span id="page-0-0"></span>CLASS 1. ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES, SUBSTRUCTURES, AUTOMORPHISMS. ORDERS (OCTOBER 4, 2018)

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.I,§1, Chap.II,§§1,2]; [\[B,](#page-6-1) §§1.1,1.2,2.1]; [\[M,](#page-6-2) §§1.4,1.5,2.2,2.3].

The basic structures in mathematics are: algebraic, topological, and ordered structures. Combinations of these leads to various branches of mathematics.

Definition of an algebraic structure. Notion of a substructure of an algebraic structure. Definition of order; partial and total (= linear) orders.

Examples:  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq), (\mathbb{Z}, \leq), P(X)$  (set of subsets of the given set X). The latter order is total if an only if  $|X| \leq 1$ .

Cartesian product of orders: if  $(X_i, \leq)$  are orders,  $i = 1, ..., n$ , then the order  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$  is defined as  $(x_1,...,x_n) \leq (y_1,...,y_n)$  iff  $x_i \leq y_i$  for any  $i = 1,...,n$ . This order is not total except degenerate cases ( $|X_i| \leq 1$ ). For example, complex numbers, considered as pairs of real numbers, may be ordered this way.

Examples of algebraic structures:

- (i)  $(\mathbb{N}, \rightarrow)$ , where  $\rightarrow$  is the unary function  $n \rightarrow n+1$ . All substructures are of the form  ${n, n+1, n+2,...}$  for some n.
- (ii) A dynamical system:  $(X, f)$ , for unary  $f: X \to X$ .
- (iii)  $(C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \frac{d}{dx}, +, \cdot)$ , where + and · are pointwise addition and multiplication of functions. Examples of substructures: polynomials; functions of the form  $a_1e^{b_1x}+\cdots+a_ne^{b_nx}$ , where  $a_i,b_i\in\mathbb{R}.$
- (iv)  $(M_n(\mathbb{R}), t)$ , where  $t(A, B, C) = ABC$ .
- (v) A "circular" variant of (i):  $({1, 2, 3, 4}, \rightarrow)$ , where  $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$ . There are no proper substructures.
- (vi) Small structures with operations of small arity can be given by "multiplication" tables.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The intersection of any number of substructures of an algebraic structure is a substructure.*

Notions of homomorphism, isomorphism, automorphism. Example of isomorphism:  $(\mathbb{R}, +) \simeq (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot)$ , where isomorphism is provided by  $x \mapsto e^x$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Automorphisms of an algebraic system form a group.*

Example: automorphisms of the structure from Example (v) form the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ .

CLASS 2. CONGRUENCES. HOMOMORPHISM THEOREMS (OCTOBER 11, 2018)

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.II, §§5,6]; [\[B,](#page-6-1) §§1.5,3.1]; [\[M,](#page-6-2) §§2.4,3.3,4.1].

Refresher: equivalence relation, equivalence classes.

Definition of congruence. Congruences for groups amount to normal subgroups, and congruences for rings amount to ideals.

Any algebraic structure *X* has trivial congruences: the minimal one – the diagonal  $\Delta(X)$  =  $\{(x, x) | x \in X\}$ , and the maximal one – the whole Cartesian product  $X \times X$ .

*Date*: last modified December 28, 2018.

**Definition 2.1.** If  $\varphi: X \to Y$  is a homomorphism of algebraic structures (of the same signature), then its kernel, denoted by Ker $\varphi$ , is defined as  $\{(a, b) \in X \mid \varphi(a) = \varphi(b)\}.$ 

**Theorem 2.1.** *A kernel of homomorphism is a congruence.*

**Example.** The only nontrivial congruence of the structure (v) from Class [1](#page-0-0) is: ∆(*x*)∪{(1,3),(3,1),(2,4),(4,2)}.

**The First Homomorphism Theorem.** *If*  $\varphi$  :  $X \to Y$  *is a surjective homomorphism of algebraic structures, then*  $X/Ker\varphi \simeq Y$ *.* 

**Definition 2.2.** If  $\alpha \subseteq \beta$  are congruences on an algebraic structure *X*, then

 $\beta/\alpha \stackrel{df}{=} \{(x/\alpha, y/\alpha) \in X/\alpha \times X/\alpha \mid (x, y) \in \beta\}.$ 

**Lemma 2.1.** *β*/*α is a congruence on X*/*α.*

**The Second Homomorphism Theorem.** *If*  $\alpha \subseteq \beta$  *are congruences on an algebraic structure X, then*  $(X/\alpha)/(\beta/\alpha) \simeq X/\beta$ *.* 

*Proof.* Establish a map  $X/\alpha \rightarrow X/\beta$ , and use the First Homomorphism Theorem.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If X is a substructure of, and α is a congruence on an algebraic structure Y, then*  $\alpha \cap (X \times X)$  *is a congruence on X.* 

**The Third Homomorphism Theorem.** *If X is a substructure of, and α is a congruence on an algebraic structure Y*, then  $X/(\alpha \cap (X \times X))$  *is isomorphic to a substructure of*  $Y/\alpha$ *.* 

*Proof.* Establish a map  $X/(\alpha \cap (X \times X)) \to Y/\alpha$ , prove that it is injective, and use the First Homomorphism Theorem.

CLASS 3. LATTICES (OCTOBER 18, 2018)

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.I,§1, Chap.II,§5]; [\[B,](#page-6-1) §§1.4,2.1]; [\[M,](#page-6-2) §§2.3,5.1]; Wikipedia: *Lattice (order)*.

Notions of supremum and infimum of a subset of an ordered set.

**Definition 3.1.** A lattice is an ordered set in which any two elements have supremum and infimum (called join and meet, respectively).

**Definition 3.2.** A lattice is an algebraic structure of the form  $(X, \land, \lor)$ , where  $\land$  and  $\lor$  are binary operations satisfying the following axioms:

- (1) both  $\land$  and  $\lor$  are commutative and associative;
- (2) (absorption)  $a \vee (a \wedge b) = a$ ,  $a \wedge (a \vee b) = a$ .

Equivalence of these two definitions:  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ :  $a \vee b = \sup(a, b)$ ,  $a \wedge b = \inf(a, b)$ . 2 ⇒ 1:  $a \le b$  iff  $a = a \vee b$  iff  $b = a \wedge b$ .

Idempotency in lattices:  $a \wedge a = a$ ,  $a \vee a = a$ . Follows from absorption, for example:  $a \vee a = a$  $a \vee (a \wedge (a \vee a)) = a$ .

Intersection of congruences on an algebraic structure is a congruence (had to be earlier, when talking about congruences).

Notions of substructure of and congruence on algebraic structure generated by a subset (had to be earlier, when talking about substructures and congruences in arbitrary algebraic structures).

Examples: in an arbitrary lattice, every element generates an one-element sublattice. Every two element generate either two-element totally ordered sublattice, or 4-element "diamond" sublattice  $D_4$ , depending whether they are comparable or not.

Any lattice consisting of  $\leq$  4 elements isomorphic to one of the 5 lattices: a linear order  $L_1, L_2$ ,  $L_3, L_4$  (consisting of 1, 2, 3, 4 elements respectively), or  $D_4$ .

Hasse diagram of a lattice.

Examples:  $P(X)$  (the set of all subsets of a set *X*) forms a lattice; for any  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $P(A)$  is a sublattice. The lattice  $(N, g)$  ( means "divides") is isomorphic (through the prime numbers decomposition) to the countable direct power of the lattice  $(N, \leq)$ .

Substructures of and congruences on a given algebraic structure form lattices.

Example: the lattice of substructures of the "circular" structure from Class [1,](#page-0-0) Example (v) is isomorphic to the one-element lattice  $L_1$ , and the lattice of its congruences is isomorphic to  $L_3$ . Lattice of congruences of a totally ordered set.

CLASS 4. DISTRIBUTIVE AND MODULAR LATTICES (OCTOBER 25, 2018)

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.I,§3]; [\[B,](#page-6-1) §2.2]; [\[M,](#page-6-2) §5.2].

Exercise: Find lattices of sublattices of and congruences on the 4-element diamond lattice *D*4. Answer: Sublattices form a certain 12-element lattice,  $Con(D_4) \simeq D_4$ .

The question about congruences on the lattice  $P(X)$  (for arbitrary  $X$ ) is a difficult one. Dual lattice.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Definition 4.1.** A lattice *L* is called distributive if one of the following three equivalent condition holds:

- (i) Distributivity of  $\vee$  with respect to  $\wedge$ :  $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$  for any  $x, y, z \in L$ ;
- (ii) Distributivity of  $\wedge$  with respect to  $\vee$ :  $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$  for any  $x, y, z \in L$ ;
- (iii)  $x \wedge (y \vee z) \leq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$  for any  $x, y, z \in L$ ;
- (iv)  $x \vee (y \wedge z) \ge (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$  for any  $x, y, z \in L$ .

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Lemma 4.1.** *In any lattice*  $L$ *, the following holds for any*  $x, y, z \in L$ *:* 

(i) (*x* ∧ *y*)∨(*x* ∧ *z*) ≤ *x* ∧(*y*∨ *z*) (ii) (*x* ∨ *y*)∧(*x* ∨ *z*) ≥ *x* ∨(*y*∧ *z*)

*Proof.* (i) Since  $x \wedge y \le x$ , we have  $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z) \le x \vee (x \wedge z) = x$  (by absorption). Since  $x \wedge y \le y$ and  $x \wedge z \leq z$ , we have  $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z) \leq y \vee z$ . Hence  $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z) \leq x \wedge (y \vee z)$ , as required. (ii) By duality.  $\square$ 

*Proof of equivalences in Definition* [4.1.](#page-2-0) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)

$$
x \lor (y \land z) = (x \lor (x \land z)) \lor (y \land z)
$$
 (by absorption)  
\n
$$
= x \lor ((x \land z) \lor (y \land z))
$$
 (by associativity)  
\n
$$
= x \lor ((z \land x) \lor (z \land y))
$$
 (by commutativity)  
\n
$$
= x \lor (z \land (x \lor y))
$$
 (by (i))  
\n
$$
= x \lor ((x \lor y) \land z)
$$
 (by commutativity)  
\n
$$
= (x \land (x \lor y) \land x) \lor ((x \lor y) \land z)
$$
 (by absorption)  
\n
$$
= ((x \lor y) \land x) \lor ((x \lor y) \land z)
$$
 (by commutativity)  
\n
$$
= (x \lor y) \land (x \lor z)
$$
 (by (i)).

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i) By duality.$ 

 $(i)$  ⇔ (iii) follows from Fact [4.1\(](#page-2-1)i).

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) follows from Fact [4.1\(](#page-2-1)ii) (or by duality).  $□$ 

Example of distributive lattices: linear orders, *P*(*X*). Example of non-distributive lattice: *M*5.

**Definition 4.2.** A lattice is called modular if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(i)  $x \le y \Rightarrow y \wedge (x \vee z) = x \vee (y \wedge z)$ ; (ii)  $(x \wedge y) \vee (z \wedge y) = ((x \wedge y) \vee z) \wedge y$ .

*Proof of equivalence in this definition.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) We have  $x \wedge y \leq y$ , hence  $y \wedge ((x \wedge y) \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee z$  $(y \land z)$ , what, up to commutativity, is (ii).

(ii) ⇒ (i) If  $x \le y$ , then  $x = x \land y$ , and the identity (ii) becomes  $x \lor (z \land y) = ((x \lor z) \land y$ , what, up to commutativity, is implication in (i).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Any distributive lattice is modular.*

*Proof.* If  $x \leq y$ , then  $x \vee y = y$ , and  $y \wedge (x \vee z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z) = x \vee (y \wedge z)$  (by distributivity).

When checking a lattice for distributivity or modularity, it is enough to consider triples of elements which are all different, and not contain 0 and 1 (the minimal and maximal elements), if they exist.

Exercise: check that the lattice  $M_5$  is modular, and  $N_5$  is not distributive and not modular.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 4.2.** *Let V be a vector space. Then the lattice of subspaces of V is modular.*

Question: whether it is distributive?

CLASS 5. DISTRIBUTIVE AND MODULAR LATTICES (CONT.). COMPLEMENTED LATTICES. BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS (NOVEMBER 1, 2018)

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.I,§3, Chap.IV,§1]; [\[B,](#page-6-1) §2.2]; [\[M,](#page-6-2) §5.2].

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let V be a vector space. Then the lattice of subspaces of V is distributive iff*  $dim V = 0$  *or* 1.

*Proof.* The cases of dim  $V = 0$  or 1 are obvious. Assume dim  $V \ge 2$ .

Case 1. The characteristic of the ground field is  $\neq 2$ . Choose two linearly independent vectors *u* and *v*. Then the three one-dimensional vector spaces  $\langle u \rangle$ ,  $\langle u + v \rangle$ ,  $\langle u - v \rangle$  provide counterexample to the distributivity:

but

$$
(\langle u-v\rangle + \langle u+v\rangle) \cap \langle u\rangle = \langle u,v\rangle \cap \langle u\rangle = \langle u\rangle,
$$

$$
\langle u - v \rangle \cap \langle u \rangle + \langle u + v \rangle \cap \langle u \rangle = 0 + 0 = 0.
$$

Case 2. The characteristic of the ground field is 2. Over *GF*(2), the lattices of subspaces of a 2 dimensional space is isomorphic to  $M_5$  which is not distributive. Since enlargement of the vector space, and enlargement of the ground field lead to a bigger lattice, it will be also not distributive, and we are done.  $\Box$ 

Dedekind's and Birkhoff's theorems about characterization of modular and distributive lattices in terms of (not) containment of  $N_5$  and  $M_5$ .

Proof of the Dedekind theorem.

Another proof of Theorem [4.2](#page-3-0) using the Dedekind theorem.

Complemented lattices: definition.

Exercise: Which of the following lattices are complemented:  $P(X)$ , total order,  $M_5$ ,  $N_5$ . (the latter two lattices show that complement does not have to be unique).

**Definition 5.1.** A Boolean algebra is a distributive complemented lattice.

**Definition 5.2.** A Boolean algebra is an algebraic system with two binary operations ∨ and ∧, one unary operation  $\neg$ , and two distinguished elements 0 and 1, satisfying the (highly redundant) system of axioms:

$$
(1) \ \neg 0 = 1, \ \neg 1 = 0;
$$

 $(2) \neg \neg x = x;$ 

(3) 
$$
0 \vee x = x
$$
,  $0 \wedge x = 0$ ,  $1 \vee x = 1$ ,  $1 \wedge x = x$ ;

$$
(4) x \wedge x = x, x \vee x = x;
$$

(5) ∧ and ∨ are commutative, associative, and distributive with respect to each other;

(6) (de Morgan laws) ¬(*x* ∧ *y*) = (¬*x*)∨(¬*y*), ¬(*x* ∨ *y*) = (¬*x*)∧(¬*y*).

Equivalence of two definitions of Boolean algebras (in a complemented distributive lattice, complements are unique).

Significance of Boolean algebras.

CLASS 6. BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS (CONT). DIRECT PRODUCT (NOVEMBER 8, 2018)

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.II,§7, Chap.IV,§1]; [\[B,](#page-6-1) §§1.3,3.2]; [\[M,](#page-6-2) §2.5].

Examples of Boolean algebras: two-element Boolean algebra **2**, P(X).

Exercise: find a 3-element Boolean algebra.

Answer: such Boolean algebras do not exist, because each 3-element lattice is a total order, and

**Proposition 6.1.** *A Boolean algebra is a total order iff it is isomorphic to* **2***.*

Direct product of algebraic systems. In general, unlike in the group case, factors are not necessary subsystems in their direct product. Properties of direct product: commutativity and associativity.

Direct product of linear orders is not a linear order. Direct product of Boolean algebras is a Boolean algebra.

Homomorphism of direct product to factors.

Notion of directly indecomposable algebraic system.

Examples: 4-element "diamond" decomposes as  $2 \times 2$ ; linear orders are directly indecomposable; simple algebraic systems are directly indecomposable.

 $P(X) \approx 2^X$ .

Notion of restriction  $B|a$  for a Boolean algebra  $B$  and  $a \in B$ . Homomorphism  $B \to B|a$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *For any Boolean algebra B, and any*  $a \in B$ ,  $B \simeq B|a \times B| \neg a$ *.* 

CLASS 7. THE STONE THEOREMS. SUBDIRECT IRREDUCIBILITY (NOVEMBER 15, 2018)

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.II,§§7,8, Chap.IV,§1]; [\[B,](#page-6-1) §3.3]; [\[M,](#page-6-2) §5.2].

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Corollary 7.1.** *A Boolean algebra is directly indecomposable iff it is isomorphic to* **2***.*

**Theorem 7.1** ("The Little Stone Theorem")**.** *Any finite Boolean algebra is isomorphic to P*(*X*) *for a finite set X.*

Proof is by induction, using Corollary [7.1](#page-4-0) and the fact that  $P(X) \simeq 2^X$ .

**Corollary 7.2.** For two finite Boolean algebras  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ ,  $B_1 \simeq B_2$  *iff*  $|B_1| = |B_2|$ *.* 

**Theorem 7.2** ("The Big Stone Theorem")**.** *Any Boolean algebra is a subalgebra of P*(*X*) *for some set X.*

An example of an (infinite) Boolean algebra not isomorphic to *P*(*X*): the set of all finite and all cofinite subsets of an infinite set *X* (to finish the proof is a Homework).

Notion of subdirect product.

An equivalent formulation of the Big Stone Theorem: any Boolean algebra is a subdirect power of **2**.

Notion of subdirect irreducibility of an algebraic structure.

Examples of subdirectly irreducible algebraic structures: 2-element structures, simple structures.

A vector space is subdirectly irreducible iff it is of dimension 0 or 1.

A finite abelian group is subdirectly irreducible iff it is isomorphic to a cyclic group of a prime power order.

CLASS 8. PROOF OF THE BIG STONE THEOREM. BOOLEAN RINGS

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.II,§§6,8, Chap.IV,§§1,2]; [\[B,](#page-6-1) §§2.1,3.1,3.3,3.4].

Notion of the interval  $[a, b]$  in a lattice.

Theorem: for any algebraic structure *A*, and any  $\theta \in Con(A)$ ,  $[\theta, \nabla_A] \simeq Con(A/\theta)$ . Corollary: a quotient of an algebraic structure by a maximal proper congruence is simple.

Criterion of subdirect irreducibility: an algebraic structure *A* is subdirectly irreducible iff there is a smallest element in  $Con(A) \setminus {\Delta_A}$ . Corollary: any simple algebraic structure is subdirectly irreducible.

**Theorem 8.1** (Birkhoff)**.** *Any algebraic structure is a substructure of a direct product of subdirectly irreducible structures.*

Zorn's lemma.

Finish of the proof of the Stone theorem.

Boolean rings. Correspondence Boolean rings  $\leftrightarrow$  Boolean algebras. Exercise: Which Boolean rings are fields? Answer: *GL*(2). Finite Boolean rings are direct sums of copies of *GF*(2) (follows from Stone's theorem).

CLASS 9. IDEALS, FILTERS AND ULTRAFILTERS IN BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.IV,§3], [\[M,](#page-6-2) §8.1].

Ideals in Boolean rings lead to ideals in Boolean algebras.

Definition of ideal and filter in a Boolean algebra, their duality.

Examples of filters: cofinite filter in  $P(X)$ , principal ultrafilter.

Ultrafilters as maximal proper filters.

A filter *F* in a Boolean algebra *B* is an ultrafilter iff for any  $a \in B$ , either  $a \in F$ , or  $\neg a \in F$ . Description of filters on finite Boolean algebras.

## CLASS 10. STONE'S DUALITY

Literature: [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap.IV,§4].

Discussion of homeworks.

Homework 6: to prove that the lattice of normal subgroups of a group is modular is moreor-less routine task, but to describe groups for which this lattice is distributive, is more like a research problem (for example, for a group which is a direct product of *n* simple groups this lattice is isomorphic to lattice of subsets of an *n*-element set and hence is distributive).

Boolean (= Stone) topological spaces. Correspondence between Boolean algebras and Boolean spaces.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Lemma 10.1.** *Let B be a Boolean algebra, X a subset of B. Then the ideal of B generated by X (= the minimal ideal of B containing X) coincides with*

$$
\{b\in B\mid b\leq x_1\vee\cdots\vee x_n,x_1,\ldots,x_n\in X\}\cup\{0\}.
$$

For an (easy) proof, see [\[BS,](#page-6-0) Chap. IV, Lemma 3.9(a)].

*Proof that for a Boolean algebra B, B*<sup>\*</sup> *is compact.* Let  $\{N_a \mid a \in X\}$  be a cover of  $B^*$ . Consider the set  $\mathscr I$  of all proper ideals of *B* containing *X*.

Case 1.  $\mathscr{I} = \emptyset$ . Then the ideal generated by *X* coincides with *B*, and by Lemma [10.1,](#page-5-0) 1 = *x*<sub>1</sub> ∨ … ∨ *x<sub>n</sub>* for some *x*<sub>1</sub>,…, *x<sub>n</sub>* ∈ *X*. Let *U* ∈ *B*<sup>\*</sup> (i.e., *U* is an ultrafilter of *B*). Since 1 ∈ *U*, we have  $x_i \in U$  for some  $1 \le i \le n$ , i.e.  $U \in N_{x_i}$ . Hence  $N_{x_1}, \ldots, N_{x_n}$  is a finite (sub)cover of  $B^*$ .

Case 2.  $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$ . Then by Zorn's lemma, *X* contained in some maximal ideal *I* of *B*. Then  $U = \neg I$ is an ultrafilter, and  $U \cap I = \emptyset$ . But then for any  $a \in X$ , we have  $a \in I$ , hence  $a \notin U$ , and  $U \notin N_a$ , a contradiction with the fact that  $\{N_a \mid a \in X\}$  is a cover of  $B^*$ <sup>∗</sup>

*Proof that the map*  $B \to B^{**}$ ,  $b \to N_b$ , *is injective.* Let  $a, b \in B$ ,  $a \neq b$ . Then  $(a \vee b) \wedge \neg(a \wedge b) \neq 0$ , and there is an ultrafilter *U* on *B* such that  $(a \lor b) \land \neg(a \land b) \in U$ . But since  $a \lor b \geq (a \lor b) \land \neg(a \land b)$ , and  $a \lor b \in U$ , and hence  $a \in U$  or  $b \in U$ . Similarly,  $\neg(a \land b) = \neg a \lor \neg b \in U$ , and  $\neg a \in U$  or  $\neg b \in U$ , what is equivalent to  $a \notin U$  or  $b \notin U$ . Thus, exactly one of a, b belongs to U, i.e. U lies in exactly one of  $N_a$ ,  $N_b$ , so  $N_a \neq N_b$ .

*Proof that the map*  $B \to B^{**}$ ,  $b \to N_b$ , *is surjective*. Let *N* be a clopen subset of  $B^*$ . Then *N* is a union of a number of  $N_a$ 's. But since  $N$  is a closed subset of a compact space,  $N$  is compact, and hence is a union of a finite number of  $N_a$ 's, say,  $N = N_{a_1} \cup \cdots \cup N_{a_n} = N_{a_1 \vee \cdots \vee a_n}$  (by the lemma proved at the previous class).

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[B] C. Bergman, *Universal Algebra*, CRC Press, 2012.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[BS] S. Burris and H.P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, The Millennium Edition.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[M] A.I. Mal'cev, *Algebraic Systems*, Springer, 1973.

*Email address*: pasha.zusmanovich@gmail.com